SU–ITP–94–36
hep-th/9410082

arXiv:hep-th/9410082v1 12 Oct 1994

LECTURES ON INFLATIONARY COSMOLOGY

ANDREI LINDE

Physics Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

CONTENTS[1]

1. Introduction
2. The simplest model of inflation
3. The way inflation begins
4. The way inflation ends. Hybrid inflation
5. Reheating of the Universe after inflation
6. Topological defects as seeds for eternal inflation
7. Stationary Universe
8. Cosmological constant, $\Omega < 1$, and all that
9. Conclusions

[1]Based on the lectures and talks given in 1994 at the School on Particle Physics and Cosmology at Lake Louise, Canada, at the Marcel Grossmann Conference, Stanford, at the Workshop on Birth of the Universe, Roma, at the Symposium on Elementary Particle Physics, Capri, and at the School of Astrophysics, Erice, Italy

1 Introduction

The standard big bang theory asserts that the Universe was born at some moment $t = 0$ about 15 billion years ago, in a state of infinitely large density and temperature. With the rapid expansion of the Universe the average energy of particles, given by the temperature, decreased rapidly, and the Universe became cold. This theory became especially popular after the discovery of the microwave background radiation. However, by the end of the 70's it was understood that this theory is hardly compatible with the present theory of elementary particles (primordial monopole problem, Polonyi fields problem, gravitino problem, domain wall problem) and it has many internal difficulties (flatness problem, horizon problem, homogeneity and isotropy problems, etc.).

Fortunately, all these problems can be solved simultaneously in the context of a relatively simple scenario of the Universe evolution — the inflationary Universe scenario [1]–[8]. The main idea of this scenario is that the Universe at the very early stages of its evolution expanded quasi-exponentially (the stage of inflation) in a state with energy density dominated by the potential energy density $V(\phi)$ of some scalar field ϕ. This rapid expansion made the Universe flat, homogeneous and isotropic, and made the density of monopoles, gravitinos and domain walls vanishingly small. Later, the potential energy density of the scalar field transformed into thermal energy, and still later, the Universe was correctly described by the standard hot Universe theory predicting the existence of the microwave background radiation.

The first models of inflation were formulated in the context of the big bang theory. Their success in solving internal problems of this theory apparently removed the last doubts concerning the big bang cosmology. It remained almost unnoticed that during the last ten years inflationary theory changed considerably. It has broken an umbilical cord connecting it with the old big bang theory, and acquired an independent life of its own. For the practical purposes of describing the observable part of our Universe one may still speak about the big bang, just as one can still use Newtonian gravity theory to describe the Solar system with very high precision. However, if one tries to understand the beginning of the Universe, or its end, or its global structure, then some of the notions of the big bang theory become inadequate. For example, one of the main principles of the big bang theory is the homogeneity of the Universe. The assertion of homogeneity seemed to be so important that it was called "the cosmological principle" [9]. Indeed, without using this principle one could not prove that the whole Universe appeared *at a single moment of time*, which was associated with the big bang. So far, inflation remains the only theory which explains why the observable part of the Universe is almost homogeneous. However, almost all versions of inflationary cosmology predict that on a much larger scale the Universe should be extremely inhomogeneous, with energy density varying from the Planck density to almost zero. Instead of one single big bang producing a single-bubble Universe, we are speaking now about inflationary bubbles producing new bubbles, producing new bubbles, *ad infinitum* [10, 11]. Thus, recent development of inflationary theory considerably modified our cosmological paradigm [8]. In order to understand better this modification, we should remember the main turning points in the evolution of the inflationary theory.

The history of inflationary cosmology goes back to 1965, to the papers by Erast Gliner [1]. He suggested that the Universe should begin its expansion in the vacuum-like state, and even calculated the desirable amount of inflation $\sim e^{70}$. However, at that time almost nobody took his ideas seriously, except for Andrei Sakharov, who made an attempt to calculate density perturbations produced in this scenario [2].

The first semi-realistic version of inflationary cosmology was suggested by Alexei Starobinsky [3]. However, originally it was not quite clear what should be the initial state of the Universe in this scenario. Inflation in this model could not occur if the Universe was hot from the very beginning. To solve this problem, Zeldovich in 1981 suggested that the inflationary Starobinsky Universe was created "from nothing" [12]. This idea, which is very popular now [13], at that time seemed too extravagant, and most cosmologists preferred to study inflation in a more traditional context of the hot Universe theory.

One of the most important stages of the development of the inflationary cosmology was related to the old inflationary Universe scenario by Alan Guth [4]. His scenario was based on the theory of the first order cosmological phase transitions, which was developed in the mid–70's by David Kirzhnits and myself [14]. This scenario was based on three fundamental propositions:

1. The Universe initially expands in a state with a very high temperature, which leads to the symmetry restoration in the early Universe, $\phi(T) = 0$, where ϕ is some scalar field driving inflation (the inflaton field).

2. The effective potential $V(\phi, T)$ of the scalar field ϕ has a deep local minimum at $\phi = 0$ even at a very low temperature T. As a result, the Universe remains in a supercooled vacuum state $\phi = 0$ (false vacuum) for a long time. The energy-momentum tensor of such a state rapidly becomes equal to $T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}V(0)$, and the Universe expands exponentially (inflates) until the false vacuum decays.

3. The decay of the false vacuum proceeds by forming bubbles containing the field ϕ_0 corresponding to the minimum of the effective potential $V(\phi)$. Reheating of the Universe occurs due to the bubble-wall collisions.

The main idea of the old inflationary Universe scenario was very simple and attractive, and the role of the old inflationary scenario in the development of modern cosmology was very important. Unfortunately, as it was pointed out by Guth in [4], this scenario had a major problem. If the rate of the bubble formation is bigger than the speed of the Universe expansion, then the phase transition occurs very rapidly and inflation does not take place. On the other hand, if the vacuum decay rate is small, then the Universe after the phase transition becomes unacceptably inhomogeneous.

All attempts to suggest a successful inflationary Universe scenario failed until cosmologists managed to surmount a certain psychological barrier and renounce the aforementioned assumptions, while retaining the main idea of ref. [4] that the Universe has undergone inflation during the early stages of its evolution. The invention of the new inflationary Universe scenario [5] marked

the departure from the assumptions (2), (3). Later it was shown that the assumption (1) also does not hold in all realistic models known so far, for two main reasons. First of all, the time which is necessary for the field ϕ to roll down to the minimum of $V(\phi, T)$ is typically too large, so that either inflation occurs before the field rolls to the minimum of $V(\phi, T)$, or it does not occur at all. On the other hand, even if the field ϕ occasionally was near the minimum of $V(\phi, T)$ from the very beginning, inflation typically starts very late, when thermal energy drops from $M_p^4 = 1$ down to $V(0, T)$. In all realistic models of inflation $V(0, T) < 10^{-10}$, hence inflation may start in a state with $\phi = 0$ not earlier than at $t \sim 10^4$. During such a time a typical closed Universe would collapse before the conditions necessary for inflation could be realized [8].

The assumption (1) was finally given up with the invention of the chaotic inflation scenario [6]. The main idea of this scenario was to abandon the assumption that the Universe from the very beginning was hot, and that the initial state of the scalar field should correspond to a minimum of its effective potential. Instead of that, one should study various initial distributions of the scalar field ϕ, including those which describe the scalar field outside of its equilibrium state, and investigate in which case the inflationary regime may occur.

In other words, the main idea of chaotic inflation was to remove all unnecessary restrictions on inflationary models inherited from the old theory of the hot big bang. In fact, the first step towards this liberation was already made when it was suggested to consider quantum creation of inflationary Universe from nothing [12, 13]. Chaotic inflation naturally incorporates this idea [13], but it is more general: inflation in this scenario can also appear under a more traditional assumption of initial cosmological singularity.

Thus, the main idea of chaotic inflation is very simple and general. One should study all possible initial conditions without insisting that the Universe was in a state of thermal equilibrium, and that the field ϕ was in the minimum of its effective potential from the very beginning. However, this idea strongly deviated from the standard lore and was psychologically difficult to accept. Therefore, for many years almost all inflationary model builders continued the investigation of the new inflationary scenario and calculated high-temperature effective potentials in all theories available. It was argued that every inflationary model should satisfy the so-called 'thermal constraints' [15], that chaotic inflation requires unnatural initial conditions, etc.

At present the situation is quite opposite. If anybody ever discusses the possibility that inflation is initiated by high-temperature effects, then typically the purpose of such a discussion is to show over and over again that this idea does not work (see e.g. [16]), even though some exceptions from this rule might still be possible. On the other hand, there are many theories where one can have chaotic inflation (for a review see [8]).

A particularly simple realization of this scenario can be achieved in the theory of a massive scalar field with the effective potential $\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2$, or in the theory $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$, or in any other theory with an effective potential which grows as ϕ^n at large ϕ (whether or not there is a spontaneous symmetry breaking at small ϕ). Therefore a lot of work illustrating the basic principles of chaotic inflation was carried out in the context of these simple models. However, it would be absolutely incorrect to identify the chaotic inflation scenario with these models, just as it would be incorrect to identify

new inflation with the Coleman-Weinberg theory. The dividing line between the new inflation and the chaotic inflation was not in the choice of a specific class of potentials, but in the abandoning of the idea that the high-temperature phase transitions should be a necessary pre-requisite of inflation.

Indeed, already in the first paper where the chaotic inflation was proposed [6], it was emphasized that this scenario can be implemented not only in the theories $\sim \phi^n$, but in *any* model where the effective potential is sufficiently flat at some ϕ. In the second paper on chaotic inflation [17] this scenario was implemented in a model with an effective potential of the same type as those used in the new inflationary scenario. It was explained that the standard scenario based on high-temperature phase transitions cannot be realized in this theory, whereas the chaotic inflation can occur there, either due to the rolling of the field ϕ from $\phi > 1$, or due to the rolling down from the local maximum of the effective potential at $\phi = 0$. In [18] it was pointed out that chaotic inflation can be implemented in many theories including the theories with exponential potentials $\sim e^{\alpha\phi}$ with $\alpha < \sqrt{16\pi}$. This is precisely the same class of theories which was used to obtain the power law inflation [19]. The class of models where chaotic inflation can be realized includes also the models based on the $SU(5)$ grand unified theory [8], the R^2 inflation (modified Starobinsky model) [20], supergravity-inspired models with polynomial and non-polynomial potentials [21], 'natural inflation' [22], 'extended inflation' [7], [23], 'hybrid inflation' [24], etc.

Thus, from the point of view of initial conditions, all inflationary models considered at present belong to the general class of chaotic inflation. We will describe the simplest version of chaotic inflation theory in Section 2, and in Section 3 we will discuss the problem of initial conditions for inflation.

However, the way inflation begins is not the only possible way to classify inflationary models. Another classification describes various regimes which are possible during inflation: quasiexponential inflation, power law inflation, etc. This classification is absolutely independent of the issue of initial conditions. Therefore it does not make any sense to compare, say, power law inflation and chaotic inflation, and to oppose them to each other. For example, in [18] it was pointed out that the chaotic inflation scenario, as distinct from the new inflationary Universe scenario, can be realized in the theories with the effective potential $e^{\alpha\phi}$ for $\alpha \ll \sqrt{16\pi}$. Meanwhile, in [19] it was shown that this inflation is power law. Thus, the inflationary Universe scenario in the theory $e^{\alpha\phi}$ describes chaotic power law inflation.

Finally, the third classification is related to the way inflation ends. There are three different possibilities: slow rollover, the first-order phase transition and the waterfall. The models of the first class describe slow rolling of the inflaton field ϕ, which gradually becomes faster and faster. A particular model of this type is chaotic inflation in the theories ϕ^n. The models of the second class should contain at least two scalar fields, ϕ and σ. They describe a strongly first-order phase transition with bubble production which is triggered by the slow rolling of the field ϕ. One of the popular models of this type is the extended inflation scenario [7], which is a combination of the Brans-Dicke theory and the old inflationary scenario.

In the beginning it was assumed that the bubbles formed during the first-order phase transition

could be a useful ingredient of the theory of the large scale structure formation. However, later it was realized that one should make considerable modifications of the original models in order to avoid disastrous consequences of the bubble production. One should consider Brans-Dicke theories with a specifically adjusted effective potential and with a non-minimal kinetic term for the Brans-Dicke field, which does not seem pretty natural. According to the most recent modification [25], the bubble formation happens only after the end of inflation. In this case, the end of inflation occurs as in the standard slow-rollover scenario.

The waterfall regime appears in the models of two interacting scalar fields where inflation may end by a rapid rolling of the field σ triggered by the slow rolling of the field ϕ [24]. This regime differs both from the slow-rollover and the first-order inflation. By changing parameters of this model one can continuously interpolate between these two regimes. Therefore we called this model "hybrid inflation". Hybrid models of such type may share the best features of the slow-rollover and the first-order models. We will describe the hybrid inflation model in Section 4.

One of the most important part of inflationary cosmology is the theory of reheating of the Universe after inflation. In fact, the word "reheating" is somewhat misleading since in most of the inflationary models which we study at present the assumption that the Universe was hot before inflation is unnecessary, at typically it is even harmful. Nevertheless, we are not going to change the old terminology at this point. It is much more important that the whole theory of reheating recently has been considerably changed. Previously we thought that reheating could be described by the standard methods of the theory of particle decay being applied to the inflaton scalar field driving inflation [26]. Recently it was understood that in many theories the process of reheating is much more complicated, and it typically begins with a stage of an extremely rapid decay of the coherently oscillating scalar field due to a broad parametric resonance [27]. Later this resonance becomes narrow, and finally the old theory of particle decay becomes applicable. However, this old theory should be applied not to the decay of the inflaton field, but to the decay of the particles produced at the first stages of explosive reheating, which we called "pre-heating". The corresponding theory will be described in Section 5.

One of the main purposes of inflationary theory was to solve the primordial monopole problem. The solution was that inflation makes the distance between different monopoles exponentially large. However, recently we have learned that during inflation monopoles themselves could inflate. Moreover, inflation of monopoles continues without end even when inflation ends in the surrounding space. Therefore primordial monopoles (as well as other topological defects produced during inflation) can serve as seeds for the process of eternal self-reproduction of inflationary universe [28]. This issue will be discussed in Section 6.

Eternal inflation supported by monopoles is a very interesting and unexpected effect. However, eternal inflation is a very general property of inflationary models, which does not require any topological defects for its realization. In particular, this effect exists in the simplest versions of the chaotic inflation scenario [10, 11]: If the Universe contains at least one inflationary domain of a size of horizon (h-region) with a sufficiently large and homogeneous scalar field ϕ, then this domain will permanently produce new h-regions of a similar type. During this process the

total physical volume of the inflationary Universe (which is proportional to the total number of h-regions) will grow indefinitely. The process of self-reproduction of inflationary domains occurs not only in the theories with the effective potentials growing at large ϕ [10, 11], but in some theories with the effective potentials used in old, new and extended inflation scenarios as well [29, 30, 31, 23]. However, in the models with the potentials growing at large ϕ the existence of this effect was most unexpected, and it leads to especially interesting cosmological consequences [8].

Self-reproduction of inflationary domains is responsible for the fundamental stationarity which is present in many inflationary models: properties of the parts of the Universe formed in the process of self-reproduction do not depend on the time when this process occurs. We call this property of the inflationary Universe *local stationarity*. In addition to it, there may exist a stationary distribution of probability P_p to find a given field ϕ at a given time in a given physical volume. In this case we will be speaking of a *global stationarity* of the inflationary Universe.

Inflationary models are divided into several different groups with respect to the self-reproduction and stationarity. In some of them inflation is possible but there is no self-reproduction. In others self-reproduction and the local stationarity are present, but there is no global stationarity. Finally, there exist many models where the distribution P_p is stationary [32]–[34]. We will consider this issue in Section 7.

The possibility that our Universe is stationary (either locally or globally) shows that instead of being a fireball created 15 billion years ago, the Universe may be a huge expanding and self-reproducing fractal consisting of infinite number of exponentially large domains containing matter in all its possible phases. This changes our standard notions about what is natural and what is not. The new cosmological paradigm appears to be much more interesting and complicated than what we have expected. In the Section 8 we will briefly discuss some unusual nonperturbative effects which may imply that we should live in a center of a bubble, so that the density around us is smaller than the density at a very large distance from us (i.e. smaller than the critical density). This possibility is similar (though not quite identical) to the possibility that we live in an inflationary universe with $\Omega < 1$ [35].

In all parts of this paper except for the Section 5 describing reheating we will use the system of units in which the Planck mass $M_p = 1$.

When I was finishing writing this paper I was informed about the death of academician Moisey Alexandrovich Markov. He was an extremely talented physicist who made a lot for the development of particle physics and theory of gravity, and also for breaking the artificial isolation of the Soviet physics from the international scientific community. I dedicate this article to his memory.

2 The simplest model of inflation

We will begin our discussion with the simplest chaotic inflation model [6] based on the theory of a scalar field ϕ minimally coupled to gravity, with the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{G}{16\pi}R + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - V(\phi)\ . \tag{1}$$

Here $G = M_p^{-2}$ is the gravitational constant, R is the curvature scalar, and $V(\phi)$ is the effective potential of the scalar field. If the classical field ϕ is sufficiently homogeneous in some domain of the Universe, then its behavior inside this domain is governed by the equations

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -dV/d\phi\ , \tag{2}$$

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)\right)\ . \tag{3}$$

Here $H = \dot{a}/a, a(t)$ is the scale factor of the Universe, $k = +1, -1$, or 0 for a closed, open or flat Universe, respectively.

The simplest version of the theory (1) is the theory of a massive noninteracting scalar field with the effective potential $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$, where m is the mass of the scalar field ϕ, $m \ll 1$. If the field ϕ initially is sufficiently large, $\phi \gg 1$, then one can show that the functions $\phi(t)$ and $a(t)$ rapidly approach the asymptotic regime

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 - \frac{m}{2(3\pi)^{1/2}}t\ , \qquad a(t) = a_0 \cdot \exp\left(2\pi\left(\phi_0^2 - \phi^2(t)\right)\right)\ . \tag{4}$$

Note that in this regime the second derivative of the scalar field in eq. (2) and the term k/a^2 in (3) can be neglected. The last statement means that the Universe becomes locally flat.

According to (4), during the time $\tau \sim \phi/m$ (which is much greater than H for $\phi \gg 1$) the relative change of the field ϕ remains small, the effective potential $V(\phi)$ changes very slowly and the Universe expands quasi-exponentially: $a(t + \Delta t) \sim a(t)\exp(H\Delta t)$ for $\Delta t \leq \tau$. Here $H = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}}\, m\phi$.

The regime of the slow rolling of the field ϕ and the quasi-exponential expansion (inflation) of the Universe ends at $\phi \lesssim \phi_e$. In the theory under consideration, $\phi_e \sim 0.2$. At $\phi \leq \phi_e$ the field ϕ oscillates rapidly, and if this field interacts with other matter fields (which are not written explicitly in eq. (1)), its potential energy $V(\phi) \sim \frac{m^2\phi_e^2}{2} \sim \frac{m^2}{50}$ is transformed into heat. The reheating temperature T_R may be of the order $m^{1/2}$ or somewhat smaller, depending on the strength of the interaction of the field ϕ with other fields. It is important that T_R does not depend on the initial value ϕ_0 of the field ϕ. The only parameter which depends on ϕ_0 is the scale factor $a(t)$, which grows $e^{2\pi\phi_0^2}$ times during inflation.

All results obtained above can be easily generalized for the theories with more complicated effective potentials. For example, during inflation in the theories with $V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{n}\,\phi^n$ one has

$$\phi^{\frac{4-n}{2}}(t) = \phi_0^{\frac{4-n}{2}} - \frac{4-n}{2}\sqrt{\frac{n\lambda}{24\pi}}\ t \quad \text{for } n \neq 4\ , \tag{5}$$

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 \ \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{6\pi}}\, t\right) \qquad \text{for } n = 4 \ . \tag{6}$$

Inflation ends at $\phi_e \sim \frac{n}{4\sqrt{3\pi}}$. The scale factor is given by

$$a(t) = a_0 \exp\left(\frac{4\pi}{n}(\phi_0^2 - \phi^2(t))\right) \ . \tag{7}$$

Note, that in all realistic models of elementary particles spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs on a scale which is many orders of magnitude smaller than 1 (i.e. smaller than M_p). Therefore all results which we obtained remain valid in all theories which have potentials $V(\phi) \sim \frac{\lambda}{n}\,\phi^n$ at $\phi \gtrsim 1$, independently of the issue of spontaneous symmetry breaking which may occur in such theories at small ϕ.

As was pointed out in [19, 18], chaotic inflation occurs as well in the theories with exponential effective potentials $V(\phi) = V_0\ e^{\alpha\phi}$ for sufficiently small α. In such theories the Einstein equations and equations for the scalar field have an exact solution:

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 - \frac{2}{\alpha}\ \ln\frac{t}{t_0}\ , \qquad a(t) = a_0\, t^p\ , \tag{8}$$

where $p = \frac{16\,\pi}{\alpha^2}$. Note that here we are dealing with the power law expansion of the Universe. It can be called inflation if $p \gg 1$, which implies that $\alpha \ll \sqrt{16\pi} \sim 7$.

3 The way inflation begins

It is most important to investigate initial conditions which are required for inflation. Let us consider first a closed Universe of initial size $l \sim 1$ (in Planck units), which emerges from the space-time foam, or from singularity, or from 'nothing' in a state with the Planck density $\rho \sim 1$. Only starting from this moment, i.e. at $\rho \lesssim 1$, can we describe this domain as a *classical* Universe. Thus, at this initial moment the sum of the kinetic energy density, gradient energy density, and the potential energy density is of the order unity: $\frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \sim 1$.

We wish to emphasize, that there are no *a priori* constraints on the initial value of the scalar field in this domain, except for the constraint $\frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \sim 1$. Let us consider for a moment a theory with $V(\phi) = const.$ This theory is invariant under the shift $\phi \to \phi + a$. Therefore, in such a theory *all* initial values of the homogeneous component of the scalar field ϕ are equally probable. Note, that this expectation would be incorrect if the scalar field should vanish at the boundaries of the original domain. Then the constraint $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\phi)^2 \lesssim 1$ would tell us that the scalar field cannot be greater than 1 inside a domain of initial size 1. However, if the

original domain is a closed Universe, then it has no boundaries. (We will discuss a more general case shortly.)

The only constraint on the average amplitude of the field appears if the effective potential is not constant, but grows and becomes greater than the Planck density at $\phi > \phi_p$, where $V(\phi_p) = 1$. This constraint implies that $\phi \lesssim \phi_p$, but it does not give any reason to expect that $\phi \ll \phi_p$. This suggests that the typical initial value ϕ_0 of the field ϕ in such a theory is $\phi_0 \sim \phi_p$.

Thus, we expect that typical initial conditions correspond to $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 \sim \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\phi)^2 \sim V(\phi) = O(1)$. Note that if by any chance $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\phi)^2 \lesssim V(\phi)$ in the domain under consideration, then inflation begins, and within the Planck time the terms $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\phi)^2$ become much smaller than $V(\phi)$, which ensures continuation of inflation. It seems therefore that chaotic inflation occurs under rather natural initial conditions, if it can begin at $V(\phi) \sim 1$ [8, 6, 18].

The assumption that inflation may begin at a very large ϕ has important implications. For example, in the theory (1) one has $\phi_0 \sim \phi_p \sim m^{-1/2}$. Let us consider for definiteness a closed Universe of a typical initial size $O(1)$. Then, according to (4), the total size of the Universe after inflation becomes equal to

$$l \sim \exp\left(2\pi\phi_0^2\right) \sim \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{m^2}\right) \ . \tag{9}$$

For $m \sim 10^{-6}$ (which is necessary to produce density perturbations $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim 10^{-5}$, see below) $l \sim \exp\left(2\pi 10^{12}\right) > 10^{10^{12}} cm$. Thus, according to this estimate, the smallest possible domain of the Universe of initial size $O(M_p^{-1}) \sim 10^{-33} cm$ after inflation becomes much larger than the size of the observable part of the Universe $\sim 10^{28} cm$. This is the reason why our part of the Universe looks flat, homogeneous and isotropic.

In what follows we will return many times to our conclusion that the most probable initial value of the scalar field corresponds to $\phi \sim \phi_p$. There were many objections to it. Even though all these objections were answered many years ago [8, 18], we need to discuss here one of these objections again. This is important for a proper understanding of the new picture of the evolution of the Universe in inflationary cosmology.

Assume that the Universe is not closed but infinite, or at least extremely large from the very beginning. (This objection does not apply to the closed Universe scenario discussed above.) In this case one could argue that our expectation that $\phi_0 \sim \phi_p \gg 1$ is not very natural [36]. Indeed, the conditions $(\partial_i\phi)^2 \lesssim 1$ and $\phi_0 \sim \phi_p \gg 1$ imply that the field ϕ should be of the same order of magnitude $\phi \sim \phi_p \gg 1$ on a length scale at least as large as ϕ_p, which is much larger than the scale of horizon $l \sim 1$ at the Planck time. But this is highly improbable, since initially (i.e., at the Planck time) there should be no correlation between values of the field ϕ in different regions of the Universe separated from one another by distances greater than 1. The existence of such correlation would violate causality. As it is written in [36], the scalar field ϕ must be smooth on a scale much greater than the scale of the horizon, which does not sound very chaotic.

The answer to this objection is very simple [8, 18]. We have absolutely no reason to expect

that the overall energy density ρ simultaneously becomes smaller than the Planck energy density in all causally disconnected regions of an infinite Universe, since that would imply the existence of an acausal correlation between values of ρ in different domains of Planckian size $l_p \sim 1$. Thus, each such domain at the Planck time after its creation looks like an isolated island of classical space-time, which emerges from the space-time foam independently of other such islands. During inflation, each of these islands independently acquires a size many orders of magnitude larger than the size of the observable part of the Universe. A typical initial size of a domain of classical space-time with $\rho \lesssim 1$ is of the order of the Planck length. Outside each of these domains the condition $\rho \lesssim 1$ no longer holds, and there is no correlation between values of the field ϕ in different disconnected regions of classical space-time of size 1. But such correlation is not necessary at all for the realization of the inflationary Universe scenario: according to the 'no hair' theorem for de Sitter space, a sufficient condition for the existence of an inflationary region of the Universe is that inflation takes place inside a region whose size is of order H^{-1}. In our case this condition is satisfied.

We wish to emphasize once again that the confusion discussed above, involving the correlation between values of the field ϕ in different causally disconnected regions of the Universe, is rooted in the familiar notion of a very large Universe that is instantaneously created from a singular state with $\rho = \infty$, and instantaneously passes through a state with the Planck density $\rho = 1$. The lack of justification for such a notion is the very essence of the horizon problem. Now, having disposed of the horizon problem with the aid of the inflationary Universe scenario, we can possibly manage to familiarize ourselves with a different picture of the Universe. In this picture the simultaneous creation of the whole Universe is possible only if its initial size is of the order 1, in which case no long-range correlations appear. Initial conditions should be formulated at the Planck time and on the Planck scale. Within each Planck-size island of the classical space-time, the initial spatial distribution of the scalar field cannot be very irregular due to the constraint $(\partial_i\phi)^2 \lesssim 1$. But this does not impose any constraints on the average values of the scalar field ϕ in each of such domains. One should examine all possible values of the field ϕ and check whether they could lead to inflation.

Now let us analyze the probability for inflation to occur in the theories with small $V(\phi)$. There are two for such an event to happen. First of all, the inflationary universe can appear from nothing in a state with ϕ corresponding to the maximum of $V(\phi)$. The probability of such an event can be estimated by the square of the tunneling wave function of the Universe [13]:

$$P \sim \exp\Big(-\frac{3}{8V(\phi)}\Big)\ . \tag{10}$$

This probability may be quite high if inflation is possible for $V(\phi) \sim 1$, as in the chaotic inflation scenario. However, in the models where inflation occurs near the maximum of $V(\phi)$ considerations related to the amplitude of density perturbations require $V(\phi) < 10^{-10}$, which gives a rather disappointing result for the probability of inflation, $P \sim \exp\Big(-10^{10}\Big)$.

Another possibility is that the Universe was large and hot from the very beginning, and it became inflationary only when its thermal energy density $\sim T^4$ dropped below $V(\phi)$. Inflation begins at this stage only if the Universe at this moment was sufficiently homogeneous on a scale

greater than $\Delta x \sim H^{-1} \sim 1/\sqrt{V(\phi)}$. Suppose for simplicity that the Universe from the very beginning was dominated by ultrarelativistic matter. Then its scale factor expanded as ρ^{-4}, where ρ is the energy density at the pre-inflationary stage. Therefore at the Planck time the size of the part of the Universe which later evolved into inflationary domain was not $1/\sqrt{V(\phi)}$, but somewhat smaller: $\Delta x \sim V^{-1/4}(\phi)$. This whole scenario can work only if at the Planck time the domain of this size was sufficiently homogeneous, $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \ll 1$. However, at the Planck time this domain consisted of $V^{-3/4}(\phi)$ domains of a Planck size, and energy density in each of them was absolutely uncorrelated with the energy density in other domains. Therefore *a priori* one could expect changes of density $\delta\rho \sim \rho$ when going from one causally disconnected parts of the Universe of a size $M_P^{-1} = 1$ to another. Simple combinatorial analysis suggests that the probability of formation of a reasonably homogeneous part of the Universe of a size $\Delta x \sim V^{-1/4}(\phi)$ at the Planck time is suppressed by the exponential factor

$$P \sim \exp\Big(-\frac{C}{V^{3/4}(\phi)}\Big) \ , \tag{11}$$

where $C = O(1)$. To get a numerical estimate, one can take $V(0) \sim 10^{-10}$. This gives $P \lesssim 10^{-10^7}$.

Note, that the arguments given above [6, 18] suggest that initial conditions for inflation are quite natural only if inflation begins as close as possible to the Planck density. These arguments do not give any support to the models where inflation is possible only at densities much smaller than 1. Inflationary models of that type require fine-tuned initial conditions, and they cannot solve the flatness problem, unless we apply some rather sophisticated arguments based on the theory of a self-reproducing inflationary universe [32].

4 The way inflation ends. Hybrid Inflation

As we already mentioned in the introduction, there exist three different way for inflation to end. The first one is by slow rolling, which gradually becomes faster and faster. This possibility is illustrated by the simplest model considered in the second section. The second possibility is the first order phase transition [7]. However, after many modifications of this scenario, it gradually became rather complicated. It requires specific adjustments of the effective potential and of the kinetic terms for the Brans-Dicke field [25]. The third possibility is the rapid rolling of one of the scalar field triggered by the slow rolling of another (waterfall) [24]. We will discuss this possibility here.

The simplest model where the waterfall regime can appear is the theory of two scalar fields with the effective potential

$$V(\sigma,\phi) = \frac{1}{4\lambda}(M^2 - \lambda\sigma^2)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{g^2}{2}\phi^2\sigma^2 \ . \tag{12}$$

Theories of this type were considered in [38]–[40]. The main difference between the models of refs. [38]–[40] and our model is a specific choice of parameters, which allows the existence of the waterfall regime mentioned above. There is also another important difference: we will assume that the field σ in this model is the Higgs field, which remains as a physical degree of freedom after the Higgs effect in an underlying gauge theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking. This field acquires only positive values, which removes the possibility of domain wall formation in this theory. Usually it is rather dangerous to take the inflaton field interacting with gauge fields, since its effective coupling constant λ may acquire large radiative corrections $\sim e^4$, where e is the gauge coupling constant. In our case this problem does not appear since density perturbations in our model remain small at rather large λ, see below.

The effective mass squared of the field σ is equal to $-M^2+g^2\phi^2$. Therefore for $\phi > \phi_c = M/g$ the only minimum of the effective potential $V(\sigma,\phi)$ is at $\sigma = 0$. The curvature of the effective potential in the σ-direction is much greater than in the ϕ-direction. Thus we expect that at the first stages of expansion of the Universe the field σ rolled down to $\sigma = 0$, whereas the field ϕ could remain large for a much longer time. For this reason we will consider the stage of inflation at large ϕ, with $\sigma = 0$.

At the moment when the inflaton field ϕ becomes smaller than $\phi_c = M/g$, the phase transition with the symmetry breaking occurs. If $m^2\phi_c^2 = m^2M^2/g^2 \ll M^4/\lambda$, the Hubble constant at the time of the phase transition is given by

$$H^2 = \frac{2\pi M^4}{3\lambda}\ . \tag{13}$$

Thus we will assume that $M^2 \gg \frac{\lambda m^2}{g^2}$. We will assume also that $m^2 \ll H^2$, which gives

$$M^2 \gg m\sqrt{\frac{3\lambda}{2\pi}}\ . \tag{14}$$

One can easily verify, that, under this condition, the Universe at $\phi > \phi_c$ undergoes a stage of inflation. In fact, inflation in this model occurs even if m^2 is somewhat greater than H^2. Note that inflation at its last stages is driven not by the energy density of the inflaton field ϕ but by the vacuum energy density $V(0,0) = \frac{M^4}{4\lambda}$, as in the new inflationary Universe scenario. This was the reason why we called this model 'hybrid inflation' in [24].

Let us study the behavior of the fields ϕ and σ after the time $\Delta t = H^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda}{2\pi M^2}}$ from the moment t_c when the field ϕ becomes equal to ϕ_c. The equation of motion of the field ϕ during inflation is $3H\dot\phi = m^2\phi$. Therefore during the time interval $\Delta t = H^{-1}$ the field ϕ decreases from ϕ_c by $\Delta\phi = \frac{m^2\phi_c}{3H^2} = \frac{\lambda m^2}{2\pi g M^3}$. The absolute value of the negative effective mass squared $-M^2+g^2\phi^2$ of the field σ at that time becomes equal to

$$M^2(\phi) = \frac{\lambda m^2}{\pi M^2}\ . \tag{15}$$

The value of $M^2(\phi)$ is much greater than H^2 for $M^3 \ll \lambda\, m$. In this case the field σ within the time $\Delta t \sim H^{-1}$ rolls down to its minimum at $\sigma(\phi) = M(\phi)/\sqrt{\lambda}$, rapidly oscillates near it and

loses its energy due to the expansion of the Universe. However, the field cannot simply relax near this minimum, since the effective potential $V(\phi,\sigma)$ at $\sigma(\phi)$ has a nonvanishing partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = m^2\phi + \frac{g^2\phi M^2(\phi)}{\lambda} \ . \tag{16}$$

One can easily check that the motion in this direction becomes very fast and the field ϕ rolls to the minimum of its effective potential within the time much smaller than H^{-1} if $M^3 \ll \sqrt{\lambda}\, g\ m$. Thus, under the specified conditions inflation ends in this theory almost instantaneously, as soon as the field ϕ reaches its critical value $\phi_c = M/g$.

The amplitude of adiabatic density perturbations produced in this theory can be estimated by standard methods [8] and is given by

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} = \frac{16\sqrt{6\pi}}{5}\ \ \frac{V^{3/2}}{\frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi}}\ \ =\ \ \frac{16\sqrt{6\pi}\left(\frac{M^4}{4\lambda}+\frac{m^2\phi^2}{2}\right)^{3/2}}{5m^2\phi}\ . \tag{17}$$

In the case $m^2 \ll H^2$ the scalar field ϕ does not change substantially during the last 60 e-foldings (i.e. during the interval $\Delta t \sim 60H^{-1}$). In this case the amplitude of density perturbations practically does not depend on scale, and is given by

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim \frac{2\sqrt{6\pi}gM^5}{5\lambda\sqrt{\lambda}m^2}\ . \tag{18}$$

The definition of $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}$ used in [8] corresponds to COBE data for $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim 5\cdot 10^{-5}$. Dividing it by (14) with an account taken of (18) gives $M^3 \ll 5\cdot 10^{-5}\lambda g^{-1}m$. This means that the 'waterfall conditions' $M^3 \ll \lambda\ m$ and $M^3 \ll \sqrt{\lambda}\, g\ m$ automatically follow from the conditions $m^2 \ll H^2$ and $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim 5\cdot 10^{-5}$, unless the coupling constants λ and g are extremely small. Therefore the waterfall regime is realized in this model for a wide variety of values of parameters m, M, λ and g which lead to density perturbations $\sim 5\cdot 10^{-5}$.

To give a particular example, let us take $g^2 \sim \lambda \sim 10^{-1}$, $m \sim 10^2$ GeV (electroweak scale). In this case all conditions mentioned above are satisfied and $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim 5\cdot 10^{-5}$ for $M \sim 1.3\cdot 10^{11}$ GeV. In particular, we have verified, by solving equations of motion for the fields ϕ and σ numerically, that inflation in this model ends up within the time $\Delta t \ll H^{-1}$ after the field ϕ reaches its critical value $\phi_c = M/g$. The value of the Hubble parameter at the end of inflation is given by $H \sim 7\cdot 10^3$ GeV. The smallness of the Hubble constant at the end of inflation makes it possible, in particular, to have a consistent scenario for Hybrid in inflationary cosmology even if the axion mass is much smaller than 10^{-5} eV [24]. This model has some other distinctive features. For example, the spectrum of perturbations generated in this model may look as a power-law spectrum rapidly decreasing at large wavelength l [41].

Indeed, at the last stages of inflation (for $\frac{M^4}{4\lambda} \gg \frac{m^2\phi^2}{2}$) the field ϕ behaves as

$$\phi = \phi_c \cdot \exp\Big(-\frac{m^2(t-t_c)}{3H}\Big)\ , \tag{19}$$

whereas the scale factor of the Universe grows exponentially, $a \sim e^{Ht}$. This leads to the following relation between the wavelength of perturbations l and the value of the scalar field ϕ at the moment when these perturbations were generated: $\phi \sim \phi_c \left(\frac{l}{l_c}\right)^{m^2/3H^2}$. In this case

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} = \frac{2\sqrt{6\pi}gM^5}{5\lambda\sqrt{\lambda}m^2} \cdot \left(\frac{l}{l_c}\right)^{-\frac{m^2}{3H^2}} , \tag{20}$$

which corresponds to the spectrum index $n = 1 + \frac{2m^2}{3H^2} = 1 + \frac{\lambda m^2}{\pi M^4}$. Note that this spectrum index is greater than 1, which is a very unusual feature. For the values of m, M, λ and g considered above, the deviation of n from 1 is vanishingly small (which is also very unusual). However, let us take, for example, $\lambda = g = 1$, $M = 10^{15}$ GeV (grand unification scale), and $m = 5 \cdot 10^{10}$ GeV. In this case the amplitude of perturbations at the end of inflation ($\phi = \phi_c$) is equal to $4 \cdot 10^{-4}$, $n \sim 1.1$, and the amplitude of the density perturbations drops to the desirable level $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ on the galaxy scale ($l_g \sim l_c \cdot e^{50}$). One may easily obtain models with even much larger n, but this may be undesirable, since it may lead to formation of many small primordial black holes [37].

Note, that the decrease of $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}$ at large l is not unlimited. At $\frac{m^2\phi^2}{2} > \frac{M^4}{4\lambda}$ the spectrum begins growing again. Thus, the spectrum has a minimum on a certain scale, corresponding to the minimum of expression (17). This complicated shape of the spectrum appears in a very natural way, without any need to design artificially bent potentials.

As we have seen, coupling constants in our model can be reasonably large, and the range of possible values of masses m and M is extremely wide. Thus, our model is very versatile. One should make sure, however, that the small effective mass of the scalar field ϕ does not acquire large radiative corrections near $\phi = \phi_c$. Hopefully this can be done in supersymmetric theories with flat directions of the effective potential.

5 Reheating after inflation

The theory of reheating of the Universe after inflation is the most important application of the quantum theory of particle creation, since almost all matter constituting the Universe at the subsequent radiation-dominated stage was created during this process [8]. At the stage of inflation all energy was concentrated in a classical slowly moving inflaton field ϕ. Soon after the end of inflation this field began to oscillate near the minimum of its effective potential. Gradually it produced many elementary particles, they interacted with each other and came to a state of thermal equilibrium with some temperature T_r, which was called the reheating temperature.

An elementary theory of reheating was first developed in [26] for the new inflationary scenario. Independently a theory of reheating in the R^2 inflation was constructed in [42]. Various aspects of this theory were further elaborated by many authors, see e.g. [44, 45]. Still, a general scenario

of reheating was absent. In particular, reheating in the chaotic inflation theory remained almost unexplored. The present section contains results obtained recently in our work with Kofman and Starobinsky [27]. A more detailed presentation of our results is to be published in [43]. We have found that the process of reheating typically consists of three different stages. At the first stage, which cannot be described by the elementary theory of reheating, the classical coherently oscillating inflaton field ϕ decays into massive bosons (in particular, into ϕ-particles) due to parametric resonance. In many models the resonance is very broad, and the process occurs extremely rapidly (explosively). Because of the Pauli exclusion principle, there is no explosive creation of fermions. To distinguish this stage from the stage of particle decay and thermalization, we will call it *pre-heating*. Bosons produced at that stage are far away from thermal equilibrium and typically have enormously large occupation numbers. The second stage is the decay of previously produced particles. This stage typically can be described by methods developed in [26]. However, these methods should be applied not to the decay of the original homogeneous inflaton field, but to the decay of particles and fields produced at the stage of explosive reheating. This considerably changes many features of the process, including the final value of the reheating temperature. The third stage is the stage of thermalization, which can be described by standard methods, see e.g. [8, 26]; we will not consider it here. Sometimes this stage may occur simultaneously with the second one. In our investigation we have used the formalism of the time-dependent Bogoliubov transformations to find the density of created particles, $n_{\vec{k}}(t)$. A detailed description of this theory will be given in [43]; here we will outline our main conclusions using a simple semiclassical approach.

We should note that recently two other groups of authors have also studied related problems, see [46, 47]. The corresponding papers are very useful and contain many interesting results, but some additional work is to be done in order to apply these results to the theory of reheating after inflation. For example, ref. [46] was devoted to study of the stage of a narrow resonance without an account taken of backreaction of created particles. As we already mentioned, the first stages of reheating typically occur in the regime of a broad resonance. On the other hand, as it was shown above, the stage of a broad resonance ends because of the backreaction of created particles, and at the stage of a narrow resonance the effects of backreaction are also very important. Backreaction of created particles was investigated in a very detailed way in ref. [47]. However, their investigation was performed neglecting expansion of the Universe. In the theory $\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ with $m^2 > 0$ the authors studied the strong coupling regime, $\lambda \gg 1$, whereas in the chaotic inflation scenario which we are investigating now $\lambda \sim 10^{-13}$. Therefore in what follows we will concentrate on the results obtained in [27].

We will consider a simple chaotic inflation scenario describing the classical inflaton scalar field ϕ with the effective potential $V(\phi) = \pm\frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$. Minus sign corresponds to spontaneous symmetry breaking $\phi \to \phi + \sigma$ with generation of a classical scalar field $\sigma = \frac{m_\phi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$. The field ϕ after inflation may decay into bosons χ and fermions ψ due to the interaction terms $-\frac{1}{2}g^2\phi^2\chi^2$ and $-h\bar{\psi}\psi\phi$. Here λ, g and h are small coupling constants. In case of spontaneous symmetry breaking, the term $-\frac{1}{2}g^2\phi^2\chi^2$ gives rise to the term $-g^2\sigma\phi\chi^2$. We will assume for simplicity that the bare masses of the fields χ and ψ are very small, so that one can write $m_\chi(\phi) = g\phi$, $m_\psi(\phi) = |h\phi|$.

Let us briefly recall the elementary theory of reheating [8]. At $\phi > M_p$, we have a stage of inflation. This stage is supported by the friction-like term $3H\dot{\phi}$ in the equation of motion for the scalar field. Here $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the Universe. However, with a decrease of the field ϕ this term becomes less and less important, and inflation ends at $\phi \lesssim M_p/2$. After that the field ϕ begins oscillating near the minimum of $V(\phi)$. The amplitude of the oscillations gradually decreases because of expansion of the Universe, and also because of the energy transfer to particles created by the oscillating field. Elementary theory of reheating is based on the assumption that the classical oscillating scalar field $\phi(t)$ can be represented as a collection of scalar particles at rest. Then the rate of decrease of the energy of oscillations coincides with the decay rate of ϕ-particles. The rates of the processes $\phi \to \chi\chi$ and $\phi \to \psi\psi$ (for $m_\phi \gg 2m_\chi, 2m_\psi$) are given by

$$\Gamma(\phi \to \chi\chi) = \frac{g^4\sigma^2}{8\pi m_\phi} \ , \qquad \Gamma(\phi \to \psi\psi) = \frac{h^2 m_\phi}{8\pi} \ . \tag{21}$$

Reheating completes when the rate of expansion of the Universe given by the Hubble constant $H = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi\rho}{3M_p^2}} \sim t^{-1}$ becomes smaller than the total decay rate $\Gamma = \Gamma(\phi \to \chi\chi) + \Gamma(\phi \to \psi\psi)$. The reheating temperature can be estimated by $T_r \simeq 0.1\sqrt{\Gamma M_p}$.

It is interesting to note that in accordance with the elementary theory of reheating the amplitude squared of the oscillating scalar field decays exponentially, as $e^{-\Gamma t}$. Phenomenologically, this can be described by adding the term $\Gamma\dot{\phi}$ to the equation of motion of the scalar field. Unfortunately, many authors took this prescription too seriously and investigated the possibility that the term $\Gamma\dot{\phi}$, just like the term $3H\dot{\phi}$, can support inflation. We should emphasize [27], that adding the term $\Gamma\dot{\phi}$ to the equation of motion is justified only at the stage of oscillations (i.e. after the end of inflation), and only for the description of the *amplitude of oscillations* of the scalar field, rather than for the description of the scalar field itself. Moreover, even at the stage of oscillations this description becomes incorrect as soon as the resonance effects become important.

As we already mentioned, elementary theory of reheating can provide a qualitatively correct description of particle decay at the last stages of reheating. Moreover, this theory is always applicable if the inflaton field can decay into fermions only, with a small coupling constant $h^2 \ll m_\phi/M_p$. However, typically this theory is inapplicable to the description of the first stages of reheating, which makes the whole process quite different. In what follows we will develop the theory of the first stages of reheating. We will begin with the theory of a massive scalar field ϕ decaying into particles χ, then we consider the theory $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$, and finally we will discuss reheating in the theories with spontaneous symmetry breaking.

We begin with the investigation of the simplest inflationary model with the effective potential $\frac{m_\phi^2}{2}\phi^2$. Suppose that this field only interacts with a light scalar field χ ($m_\chi \ll m_\phi$) due to the term $-\frac{1}{2}g^2\phi^2\chi^2$. The equation for quantum fluctuations of the field χ with the physical momentum $\vec{k}/a(t)$ has the following form:

$$\ddot{\chi}_k + 3H\dot{\chi}_k + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2(t)} + g^2\Phi^2 \ \sin^2(m_\phi t)\right)\chi_k = 0 \ , \tag{22}$$

where $k = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2}$, and Φ stands for the amplitude of oscillations of the field ϕ. As we shall see, the main contribution to χ-particle production is given by excitations of the field χ with $k/a \gg m_\phi$, which is much greater than H at the stage of oscillations. Therefore, in the first approximation we may neglect the expansion of the Universe, taking $a(t)$ as a constant and omitting the term $3H\dot{\chi}_k$ in (22). Then the equation (22) describes an oscillator with a variable frequency $\Omega_k^2(t) = k^2a^{-2} + g^2\Phi^2\sin^2(m_\phi t)$. Particle production occurs due to a nonadiabatic change of this frequency. Equation (22) can be reduced to the well-known Mathieu equation:

$$\chi_k'' + (A(k) - 2q\cos 2z)\,\chi_k = 0\ , \tag{23}$$

where $A(k) = \frac{k^2}{m_\phi^2 a^2} + 2q$, $q = \frac{g^2\Phi^2}{4m_\phi^2}$, $z = m_\phi t$, prime denotes differentiation with respect to z. An important property of solutions of the equation (23) is the existence of an exponential instability $\chi_k \propto \exp(\mu_k^{(n)} z)$ within the set of resonance bands of frequencies $\Delta k^{(n)}$ labeled by an integer index n. This instability corresponds to exponential growth of occupation numbers of quantum fluctuations $n_{\vec{k}}(t) \propto \exp(2\mu_k^{(n)} m_\phi t)$ that may be interpreted as particle production. As one can show, near the line $A = 2q$ there are regions in the first, the second and the higher instability bands where the unstable modes grow extremely rapidly, with $\mu_k \sim 0.2$. We will show analytically in [43] that for $q \gg 1$ typically $\mu_k \sim \frac{\ln 3}{2\pi} \approx 0.175$ in the instability bands along the line $A = 2q$, but its maximal value is $\frac{\ln(1+\sqrt{2})}{\pi} \approx 0.28$. Creation of particles in the regime of a broad resonance ($q > 1$) with $2\pi\mu_k = O(1)$ is very different from that in the usually considered case of a narrow resonance ($q \ll 1$), where $2\pi\mu_k \ll 1$. In particular, it proceeds during a tiny part of each oscillation of the field ϕ when $1 - \cos z \sim q^{-1}$ and the induced effective mass of the field χ (which is determined by the condition $m_\chi^2 = g^2\Phi^2/2$) is less than m_ϕ. As a result, the number of particles grows exponentially within just a few oscillations of the field ϕ. This leads to an extremely rapid (explosive) decay of the classical scalar field ϕ. This regime occurs only if $q \gtrsim \pi^{-1}$, i.e. for $g\Phi \gtrsim m_\phi$, so that $m_\phi \ll gM_p$ is the necessary condition for it. One can show that a typical energy E of a particle produced at this stage is determined by equation $A - 2q \sim \sqrt{q}$, and is given by $E \sim \sqrt{gm_\phi M_p}$ [43].

Creation of χ-particles leads to the two main effects: transfer of the energy from the homogeneous field $\phi(t)$ to these particles and generation of the contribution to the effective mass of the ϕ field: $m_{\phi,eff}^2 = m_\phi^2 + g^2\langle\chi^2\rangle_{ren}$. The last term in the latter expression quickly becomes larger than m_ϕ^2. One should take both these effects into account when calculating backreaction of created particles on the process. As a result, the stage of the broad resonance creation ends up within the short time $t \sim m_\phi^{-1}\ln(m_\phi/g^5M_p)$, when $\Phi^2 \sim \langle\chi^2\rangle$ and $q = \frac{g^2\Phi^2}{4m_{\phi,eff}^2}$ becomes smaller than 1. At this time the energy density of produced particles $\sim E^2\langle\chi^2\rangle \sim gm_\phi M_p\Phi^2$ is of the same order as the original energy density $\sim m_\phi^2M_p^2$ of the scalar field ϕ at the end of inflation. This gives the amplitude of oscillations at the end of the stage of the broad resonance particle creation: $\Phi^2 \sim \langle\chi^2\rangle \sim g^{-1}m_\phi M_p \ll M_p^2$. Since $E \gg m_\phi$, the effective equation of state of the whole system becomes $p \approx \varepsilon/3$. Thus, explosive creation practically eliminates a prolonged intermediate matter-dominated stage after the end of inflation which was thought to be characteristic to many inflationary models. However, this does not mean that the process of reheating has been completed. Instead of χ-particles in the thermal equilibrium with a typical energy $E \sim T \sim (mM_p)^{1/2}$, one has particles with a much smaller energy $\sim (gm_\phi M_p)^{1/2}$, but

with extremely large mean occupation numbers $n_k \sim g^{-2} \gg 1$.

After that the Universe expands as $a(t) \propto \sqrt{t}$, and the scalar field ϕ continues its decay in the regime of the narrow resonance creation $q \approx \frac{\Phi^2}{4\langle\chi^2\rangle} \ll 1$. As a result, ϕ decreases rather slowly, $\phi \propto t^{-3/4}$. This regime is very important because it makes the energy of the ϕ field much smaller than that of the χ-particles. One can show that the decay finally stops when the amplitude of oscillations Φ becomes smaller than $g^{-1}m_\phi$ [43]. This happens at the moment $t \sim m_\phi^{-1}(gM_p/m_\phi)^{1/3}$ (in the case $m < g^7 M_p$ decay ends somewhat later, in the perturbative regime). The physical reason why the decay stops is rather general: decay of the particles ϕ in our model occurs due to its interaction with another ϕ-particle (interaction term is quadratic in ϕ and in χ). When the field ϕ (or the number of ϕ-particles) becomes small, this process is inefficient. The scalar field can decay completely only if a single scalar ϕ-particle can decay into other particles, due to the processes $\phi \to \chi\chi$ or $\phi \to \psi\psi$, see eq. (21). If there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking and no interactions with fermions in our model, such processes are impossible.

At later stages the energy of oscillations of the inflaton field decreases as $a^{-3}(t)$, i.e. more slowly than the decrease of energy of hot ultrarelativistic matter $\propto a^{-4}(t)$. Therefore, the relative contribution of the field $\phi(t)$ to the total energy density of the Universe rapidly grows. This gives rise to an unexpected possibility that the inflaton field by itself, or other scalar fields can be cold dark matter candidates, *even if they strongly interact with each other*. However, this possibility requires a certain degree of fine tuning; a more immediate application of our result is that it allows one to rule out a wide class of inflationary models which do not contain interaction terms of the type of $g^2\sigma\phi\chi^2$ or $h\phi\bar{\psi}\psi$.

So far we have not considered the term $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ in the effective potential. Meanwhile this term leads to production of ϕ-particles, which in some cases appears to be the leading effect. Let us study the ϕ-particle production in the theory with $V(\phi) = \frac{m_\phi^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ with $m_\phi^2 \ll \lambda M_p^2$. In this case the effective potential of the field ϕ soon after the end of inflation at $\phi \sim M_p$ is dominated by the term $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$. Oscillations of the field ϕ in this theory are not sinusoidal, they are given by elliptic functions, but with a good accuracy one can write $\phi(t) \sim \Phi \sin(c\sqrt{\lambda}\int \Phi dt)$, where $c = \frac{\Gamma^2(3/4)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 0.85$. The Universe at that time expands as at the radiation-dominated stage: $a(t) \propto \sqrt{t}$. If one neglects the feedback of created ϕ-particles on the homogeneous field $\phi(t)$, then its amplitude $\Phi(t) \propto a^{-1}(t)$, so that $a\Phi = const$. Using a conformal time η, exact equation for quantum fluctuations $\delta\phi$ of the field ϕ can be reduced to the Lame equation. The results remain essentially the same if we use an approximate equation

$$\frac{d^2(\delta\phi_k)}{d\eta^2} + \Big[k^2 + 3\lambda a^2\Phi^2 \sin^2(c\sqrt{\lambda}a\Phi\eta)\Big]\delta\phi_k = 0\ , \quad \eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \frac{2t}{a(t)}\,, \tag{24}$$

which leads to the Mathieu equation with $A = \frac{k^2}{c^2\lambda a^2\Phi^2} + \frac{3}{2c^2} \approx \frac{k^2}{c^2\lambda a^2\Phi^2} + 2.08$, and $q = \frac{3}{4c^2} \approx 1.04$. Looking at the instability chart, we see that the resonance occurs in the second band, for $k^2 \sim 3\lambda a^2\Phi^2$. The maximal value of the coefficient μ_k in this band for $q \sim 1$ approximately equals to 0.07. As long as the backreaction of created particles is small, expansion of the Universe does not shift fluctuations away from the resonance band, and the number of produced particles grows as $\exp(2c\mu_k\sqrt{\lambda}a\Phi\eta) \sim \exp(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}\Phi t}{5})$.

After the time interval $\sim M_p^{-1}\lambda^{-1/2}|\ln\lambda|$, backreaction of created particles becomes significant. The growth of the fluctuations $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ gives rise to a contribution $3\lambda\langle\phi^2\rangle$ to the effective mass squared of the field ϕ, both in the equation for $\phi(t)$ and in Eq. (24) for inhomogeneous modes. The stage of explosive reheating ends when $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ becomes greater than Φ^2. After that, $\Phi^2 \ll \langle\phi^2\rangle$ and the effective frequency of oscillations is determined by the term $\sqrt{3\lambda\langle\phi^2\rangle}$. The corresponding process is described by Eq. (4) with $A(k) = 1 + 2q + \frac{k^2}{3\lambda a^2\langle\phi^2\rangle}$, $q = \frac{\Phi^2}{4\langle\phi^2\rangle}$. In this regime $q \ll 1$, and particle creation occurs in the narrow resonance regime in the second band with $A \approx 4$. Decay of the field in this regime is extremely slow: the amplitude Φ decreases only by a factor $t^{1/12}$ faster that it would decrease without any decay, due to the expansion of the Universe only, i.e., $\Phi \propto t^{-7/12}$ [43]. Reheating stops altogether when the presence of non-zero mass m_ϕ though still small as compared to $\sqrt{3\lambda\langle\phi^2\rangle}$ appears enough for the expansion of the Universe to drive a mode away from the narrow resonance. It happens when the amplitude Φ drops up to a value $\sim m_\phi/\sqrt{\lambda}$.

In addition to this process, the field ϕ may decay to χ-particles. This is the leading process for $g^2 \gg \lambda$. The equation for χ_k quanta has the same form as eq. (24) with the obvious change $\lambda \to g^2/3$. Initially parametric resonance is broad. The values of the parameter μ_k along the line $A = 2q$ do not change monotonically, but typically for $q \gg 1$ they are 3 to 4 times greater than the parameter μ_k for the decay of the field ϕ into its own quanta. Therefore, this pre-heating process is very efficient. It ends at the moment $t \sim M_p^{-1}\lambda^{-1/2}\ln(\lambda/g^{10})$ when $\Phi^2 \sim \langle\chi^2\rangle \sim g^{-1}\sqrt{\lambda}M_p^2$. The typical energy of created χ-particles is $E \sim (g^2\lambda)^{1/4}M_p$. The following evolution is essentially the same as that described above for the case of a massive scalar field decaying into χ-particles.

Finally, let us consider the case with symmetry breaking. In the beginning, when the amplitude of oscillations is much greater than σ, the theory of decay of the inflaton field is the same as in the case considered above. The most important part of pre-heating occurs at this stage. When the amplitude of the oscillations becomes smaller than $m_\phi/\sqrt{\lambda}$ and the field begins oscillating near the minimum of the effective potential at $\phi = \sigma$, particle production due to the narrow parametric resonance typically becomes very weak. The main reason for this is related to the backreaction of particles created at the preceding stage of pre-heating on the rate of expansion of the Universe and on the shape of the effective potential [43]. However, importance of spontaneous symmetry breaking for the theory of reheating should not be underestimated, since it gives rise to the interaction term $g^2\sigma\phi\chi^2$ which is linear in ϕ. Such terms are necessary for a complete decay of the inflaton field in accordance with the perturbation theory (21).

Let us briefly summarize our results:

1. In many models where decay of the inflaton field can occur in the purely bosonic sector the first stages of reheating occur due to parametric resonance. This process (pre-heating) is extremely efficient even if the corresponding coupling constants are very small. However, there is no explosive reheating in the models where decay of the inflaton field is necessarily accompanied by fermion production.

2. The stage of explosive reheating due to a broad resonance typically is very short. Later the

resonance becomes narrow, and finally the stage of pre-heating finishes altogether. Interactions of particles produced at this stage, their decay into other particles and subsequent thermalization typically require much more time that the stage of pre-heating, since these processes are suppressed by the small values of coupling constants.

3. The last stages of reheating typically can be described by the elementary theory of reheating [26]. However, this theory should be applied not to the decay of the original large and homogeneous oscillating inflaton field, but to the decay of particles produced at the stage of preheating, as well as to the decay of small remnants of the classical inflaton field. This makes a lot of difference, since typically coupling constants of interaction of the inflaton field with matter are extremely small, whereas coupling constants involved in the decay of other bosons can be much greater. As a result, the reheating temperature can be much higher than the typical temperature $T_r \lesssim 10^9$ GeV which could be obtained neglecting the stage of parametric resonance [43]. On the other hand, such processes as baryon creation after inflation occur best of all outside the state of thermal equilibrium. Therefore, the stage of pre-heating may play an extremely important role in our cosmological scenario. Another consequence of the resonance effects is an almost instantaneous change of equation of state from the vacuum-like one to the equation of state of relativistic matter.

4. Reheating never completes in the theories where a single ϕ-particle cannot decay into other particles. This implies that reheating completes only if the theory contains interaction terms like $\phi\sigma\chi^2$ of $\phi\bar{\psi}\psi$. In most cases the theories where reheating never completes contradict observational data. On the other hand, this result suggests an interesting possibility that the classical scalar fields (maybe even the inflaton field itself) may be responsible for the dark matter of the Universe even if they strongly interact with other matter fields.

6 Topological defects as seeds for eternal inflation

One of the main purposes of inflationary cosmology was to solve the primordial monopole problem. The solution was very simple: inflation exponentially increases the distance between monopoles and makes their density negligibly small. It was assumed that inflation neither can change internal properties of monopoles nor can it lead to their copious production. For example, in the first version of the new inflation scenario based on the $SU(5)$ Coleman-Weinberg theory [5] the Hubble constant during inflation was of the order 10^{10} GeV, which is five orders of magnitude smaller than the mass of the X-boson $M_X \sim 10^{15}$ GeV. The size of a monopole given by $\sim M_X^{-1}$ is five orders of magnitude smaller than the curvature of the Universe given by the size of the horizon H^{-1}. It seemed obvious that such monopoles simply could not know that the Universe is curved.

This conclusion finds an independent confirmation in the calculation of the probability of spontaneous creation of monopoles during inflation. According to [49], this probability is sup-

pressed by a factor of $\exp(-2\pi m/H)$, where m is the monopole mass. In the model discussed above this factor is given by $\sim 10^{-10^6}$, which is negligibly small.

Despite all these considerations, in the present section (see also [28]) we will show that in many theories, including the $SU(5)$ Coleman-Weinberg theory, monopoles, as well as other topological defects, do expand exponentially and can be copiously produced during inflation. The main reason is very simple. In the center of a monopole the scalar field ϕ always vanishes. This means that monopoles always stay on the top of the effective potential at $\phi = 0$. When inflation begins, it makes the field ϕ almost homogeneous and very close to zero near the center of the monopole. This provides excellent conditions for inflation inside the monopole. If inflation is supported by the vacuum energy $V(0)$, the conditions for inflation remain satisfied inside the monopoles even after inflation finishes outside them. An outside observer will consider such monopoles as magnetically charged Reissner-Nordström black holes. A typical distance between such objects will be exponentially large, so they will not cause any cosmological problems. However, each such magnetically charged black hole will have an inflationary universe inside it. And, as we are going to argue, each such inflationary universe will contain many other inflating monopoles, which after inflation will look like black holes with inflationary heart. We will call such configurations *fractal monopoles*, or, more generally, *fractal topological defects*.

To explain the basic idea in a more detailed way, we will consider first the simple model with the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\Big(\phi^2 - \frac{m^2}{\lambda}\Big)^2 , \tag{25}$$

where ϕ is a real scalar field. Symmetry breaking in this model leads to formation of domains with $\phi = \pm\eta$, where $\eta = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$. These domains are divided by domain walls (kinks) which interpolate between the two minima. Let us for a moment neglect gravitational effects. In this case one can easily obtain a solution for a static domain wall in the yz plane:

$$\phi = \eta\, \tanh\Big(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\, \eta x\Big) . \tag{26}$$

Now let us see whether our neglect of gravitational effects is reasonable. The potential energy density in the center of the kink (26) at $x = 0$ is equal to $\frac{\lambda}{4}\eta^4$, the gradient energy is also equal to $\frac{\lambda}{4}\eta^4$. This energy density remains almost constant at $|x| \ll m^{-1} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}\eta}$, and then it rapidly decreases. Gravitational effects can be neglected if the Schwarzschild radius $r_g = 2M$ corresponding to the distribution of matter with energy density $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{2}\eta^4$ and radius $R \sim m^{-1}$ is much smaller than R. Here $M = \frac{4\pi}{3}\rho R^3$. This condition suggests that gravitational effects can be neglected for $\eta \ll \frac{3}{2\pi}$. In the opposite case,

$$\eta \gtrsim \frac{3}{2\pi} , \tag{27}$$

gravitational effects can be very important.

This result remains true for other topological defects as well. For example, recently it was shown that monopoles in the theory with the scale of spontaneous symmetry breaking $\eta \gtrsim$ form

Reissner-Nordström black holes [50]. It remained unnoticed, however, that the same condition, $\eta \gtrsim 1$, is simultaneously a condition of inflation at $\phi \ll \eta$ in the model (25).

Indeed, inflation occurs at $\phi \ll \eta$ in the model (25) if the curvature of the effective potential $V(\phi)$ at $\phi \ll \eta$ is much smaller than $3H^2$, where $H = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\lambda}{3}}\,\eta^2$ is the Hubble constant supported by the effective potential [8]. This gives $m^2 \ll 2\pi\lambda\eta^4$, which leads to the condition almost exactly coinciding with (15): $\eta \gg /\sqrt{2\pi}$.

This coincidence by itself does not mean that domain walls and monopoles in the theories with $\eta \gg 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ will inflate. Indeed, inflation occurs only if the energy density is dominated by the vacuum energy. As we have seen, for the wall (26) this was not the case: gradient energy density for the solution (26) near $x = 0$ is equal to the potential energy density. However, this is correct only after inflation and only if gravitational effects are not taken into account.

At the initial stages of inflation the field ϕ is equal to zero. Even if originally there were any gradients of this field, they rapidly become exponentially small. Each time $\Delta t = H^{-1}$ new perturbations with the amplitude $H/\sqrt{2}\pi$ and the wavelength $\sim H^{-1}$ are produced, but their gradient energy density $\sim H^4$ is always much smaller than $V(\phi)$ for $V(\phi) \ll 1$ [32].

Formation of domain walls in this scenario can be explained as follows. Assume that in the beginning the field ϕ inside some domain of initial size $O(H^{-1})$ was equal to zero. It did not move classically at that time, since $V'(0) = 0$. However, within the time $\Delta t = H^{-1}$ fluctuations of the field ϕ were generated, which looked like sinusoidal waves with an amplitude $H/\sqrt{2}\pi$ and wavelength $\sim H^{-1}$. During this time the original domain grows in size e times, its volume grows $e^3 \sim 20$ times. Therefore it becomes divided into 20 domains of a size of the horizon H^{-1}. Evolution of the field inside each of them occurs independently of the processes in the other domains (no-hair theorem for de Sitter space). In a half of these domains scalar field will have average value $\phi \approx +H/2\pi$, in other domains it will have average value $\phi \approx -H/2\pi$. After that, the field ϕ begins its classical motion. In those domains where the resulting amplitude of the fluctuations is positive, the field ϕ moves towards the minimum of the effective potential at $\phi = +\eta$; in other domains it moves towards $\phi = -\eta$. These domains become separated by the domain walls with $\phi = 0$. However, as we already mentioned, at this stage the gradient energy near these walls is similar to $H^4 \sim V^2(\phi)$, which is much smaller than $V(\phi)$ for $V(\phi) \ll 1$. Thus, domain walls continue expanding exponentially *in all directions*.

Domain wall formation is not completed at this point yet. Indeed, the field ϕ may jump back from the region with $\phi > 0$ to $\phi < 0$. However, the probability of such jumps is smaller than $1/2$, and it becomes even much smaller with a further growth of $|\phi|$. What is more important, the typical wavelength of new fluctuations remains H^{-1}, whereas the previously formed domains with $\phi \sim \pm H/2\pi$ continue growing exponentially. Therefore the domains with negative ϕ produced by the jumps of the field ϕ will appear inside domains with positive ϕ, but they will look like small islands with $\phi < 0$ inside the sea with $\phi > 0$. This means that the subsequent stages of inflation cannot destroy the originally produced domain walls; they can only produce new domain walls on a smaller length scale. These new walls will be formed only in those places where the scalar

field is sufficiently small for the jumps with the change of the sign of the field ϕ to be possible. Therefore the new walls will be created predominantly near the old ones (where $\phi = 0$), thus forming a fractal domain wall structure.

Similar effects occur in more complicated models where instead of a discrete symmetry $\phi \to -\phi$ we have a continuous symmetry. For example, instead of the model (25) one can consider a model

$$L = \partial_\mu \phi^* \, \partial_\mu \phi - \lambda \left(\phi^* \phi - \frac{\eta^2}{2} \right)^2 , \tag{28}$$

where ϕ is a complex scalar field, $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2)$. Spontaneous breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry in this theory may produce global cosmic strings. Each string contains a line with $\phi = 0$. Outside this line the absolute value of the field ϕ increases and asymptotically approaches the limiting value $\sqrt{\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2} = \eta$. This string will be topologically stable if the isotopic vector $(\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x))$ rotates by $2n\pi$ when the point x takes a closed path around the string.

The next step is to consider a theory with $O(3)$ symmetry,

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \vec{\phi})^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\vec{\phi}^2 - \eta^2)^2 , \tag{29}$$

where $\vec{\phi}$ is a vector (ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3). This theory admits global monopole solutions. The simplest monopole configuration contains a point $x = 0$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ surrounded by the scalar field $\vec{\phi}(x) \propto \vec{x}$. Asymptotically this field approaches regime with $\vec{\phi}^2(x) = \eta^2$.

The most important feature of strings and monopoles is the existence of the points where $\phi = 0$. Effective potential has an extremum at $\phi = 0$, and if the curvature of the effective potential is smaller than $H^2 = \frac{8\pi V(0)}{3}$, space around the points with $\phi = 0$ will expand exponentially, just as in the domain wall case considered above.

Now we will add gauge fields. We begin with the Higgs model, which is a direct generalization of the model (28):

$$L = D_\mu \phi^* \, D_\mu \phi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \lambda \left(\phi^* \phi - \frac{\eta^2}{2} \right)^2 . \tag{30}$$

Here D_μ is a covariant derivative of the scalar field, which in this simple case (Abelian theory) is given by $\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu$. In this model strings of the scalar field contain magnetic flux $\Phi = 2\pi/e$. This flux is localized near the center of the string with $\phi(x) = 0$, for the reason that the vector field becomes heavy at large ϕ, see e.g. [14]. However, if inflation takes place inside the string, then the field ϕ becomes vanishingly small not only at the central line with $\phi(x) = 0$, but even exponentially far away from it. In such a situation the flux of magnetic field will not be confined near the center of the string. The thickness of the flux will grow together with the growth of the Universe. Since the total flux of magnetic field inside the string is conserved, its strength will decrease exponentially, and very soon its effect on the string expansion will become negligibly

small. A deep underlying reason for this behavior is the conformal invariance of massless vector fields. Energy density of such fields decreases as $a(t)^{-4}$, where $a(t)$ is a scale factor of the Universe. The final conclusion is that the vector fields do not prevent inflation of strings. The condition for inflation to occur inside the string remains the same as before: the curvature of the effective potential at $\phi = 0$ should be smaller than $H^2 = \frac{8\pi V(0)}{3}$.

The final step is to consider magnetic monopoles. A simplest example is given by the $O(3)$ theory

$$L = \frac{1}{2}|(D_\mu \vec{\phi}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\vec{\phi}^2 - \eta^2)^2 \ . \tag{31}$$

Global monopoles of the theory (28) become magnetic monopoles in the theory (30). They also have $\phi = 0$ in the center. Vector fields in the center of the monopole are massless ($g\phi = 0$). During inflation these fields exponentially decrease, and therefore they do not affect inflation of the monopoles.

We should emphasize that even though the field ϕ around the monopole during inflation is very small, its topological charge is well defined, it cannot change and it cannot annihilate with the charge of other monopoles as soon as the radius of the monopole becomes greater than H^{-1}. However, an opposite process is possible. Just as domain walls can be easily produced by quantum fluctuations near other inflating domain walls, pairs of monopoles can be produced in the vicinity of an inflationary monopole. The distance between these monopoles grow exponentially, but the new monopoles will appear in the vicinity of each of them. This picture has been confirmed by the results of computer simulation of this process [28].

Note that in the simple models discussed above inflation of monopoles occurs only if spontaneous symmetry breaking is extremely strong, $\eta \gtrsim 1$. However, this is not a necessary condition. Our arguments remain valid for *all* models where the curvature of the effective potential near $\phi = 0$ is smaller than the Hubble constant supported by $V(0)$. This condition is satisfied by all models which were originally proposed for the realization of the new inflationary universe scenario. In particular, the monopoles in the $SU(5)$ Coleman-Weinberg theory also should expand exponentially. However, to make sure that we do not miss something important, we should resolve the paradox formulated in the very beginning of this section: The Hubble constant H during inflation in the $SU(5)$ Coleman-Weinberg theory is much smaller than the mass of the vector field M_X, which is usually related to the size of the monopole. In such a situation inflation of the interior of the monopole does not seem possible.

This objection is very similar to the argument that the domain wall should not inflate since its gradient energy density is equal to its potential energy density. The resolution of the paradox is very similar. The effective mass of the vector field $M_X \sim g\eta \sim 10^{15}$ GeV can determine an effective size of the monopole only *after* inflation. Effective mass of the vector field $M_X(\phi) \sim g\phi$ is always equal to zero in the center of the monopole. Once inflation begins in a domain of a size $O(H^{-1})$ around the center of the monopole, it expels vectors fields away from the center and does not allow them to penetrate back as far as inflation continues.

As we already discussed, it in many versions of inflationary theory some parts of the Universe expand without end. Our results reveal a very nontrivial role which topological defects may play in this process. For example, in the theory with breaking of a discrete symmetry $\phi \to -\phi$ the Universe in the source of its evolution becomes divided into many thermalized regions divided by exponentially expanding domain walls unceasingly producing new inflating walls. It is important that inflation *never stops* near the walls. Some of these walls could collapse, eating the island of thermalized phase inside them. However, it does not seem possible. Due to the no-hair theorem for de Sitter space, the part of the Universe near the wall (i.e. near $\phi = 0$) lives by its own laws and continue expanding exponentially all the time. Thus, the walls become indestructible sources of eternal inflation, which produce new inflating walls, which produce new walls, etc. Far away from the walls, the field ϕ relaxes near $\phi = \pm\eta$. However, due to the exponential expansion of space near the walls, they always remain exponentially thick and never approach the thin wall solution described by eq. (13).

The picture of eternally inflating Universe consisting of islands of thermalized phase surrounded by inflating domain walls is very similar to a picture which appears in old inflation and in the versions of new inflation where the state $\phi = 0$ is sufficiently stable. However, in our model the main reason for this behavior is purely topological [28]. If inflation in this theory begins at large ϕ, as in the simplest models of chaotic inflation, then the Universe will not consist of islands of thermalized phase surrounded by de Sitter space. On the contrary, it will consist of islands of inflationary universe surrounded by the thermalized phase [32]. Nevertheless, inflation in this case will go eternally due to the process of self-reproduction of inflationary domains with large ϕ [10].

The results of computer simulations performed in [28] suggest that in the theories with continuous symmetry breaking, which allow existence of inflating strings and monopoles, the global structure of the Universe is similar to the structure of the Universe in the simplest versions of chaotic inflation. The Universe will consist of islands of inflationary phase associated with inflating monopoles (or of lines of inflationary phase associated with inflating strings) surrounded by thermalized phase. Since monopoles are topologically stable, and field ϕ is equal to zero in their centers, they form indestructible sources of inflation. The structure of space-time near each such monopole is very complicated; it should be studied by the methods developed in [51] for description of a bubble of de Sitter space immersed into vacuum with vanishing energy density. Depending on initial conditions, many possible configurations may appear. The simplest one is the monopole which looks like a small magnetically charged black hole from the outside, but which contains a part of exponentially expanding space inside it. This is a wormhole configuration similar to those studied in [52]–[54]. The physical interpretation of this configuration can be given as follows [51, 54]. An external observer will see a small magnetically charged Reissner-Nordström black hole. This part of the picture is consistent with the results obtained in [50]. On the other hand, an observer near the monopole will see himself inside an eternally inflating part of the Universe. These two parts of the Universe will be connected by a wormhole. Note that the wormhole connecting inflationary magnetic monopole to our space cannot evaporate unless the inflationary universe can loose its magnetic charge.

At the quantum level the situation becomes even more interesting. As we already mentioned,

fluctuations of the field ϕ near the center of a monopole are strong enough to create new regions of space with $\phi = 0$, some of which will become monopoles. After a while, the distance between these monopoles becomes exponentially large, so that they cannot annihilate. This process of monopole-antimonopole pair creation produces a fractal structure consisting of monopoles created in the vicinity of other monopoles. Each of these monopoles in the process of its further evolution will evolve into a black hole containing inflationary universe containing many other monopoles, etc. That is why we call them *fractal monopoles*.

Note that one of the main motivations for the development of inflationary cosmology was a desire to get rid of primordial monopoles. However, the original version of the new inflationary universe scenario based on the theory of high temperature phase transitions did not work particularly well, since it required rather unnatural initial conditions [8]. Fortunately, the same potentials which gave rise to new inflation can be used in the context of the chaotic inflation scenario, which does not require the whole Universe being in a state of thermal equilibrium with $\phi = 0$. If these initial conditions can be realized at least in one domain of initial size $O(H^{-1})$, the Universe enters the stage of eternal inflation. Of course, this possibility is still rather problematic since in the models used in the new inflationary universe scenario the size $O(H^{-1})$ is many orders of magnitude greater than the Planck length. For example, the probability of quantum creation of inflationary universe in the new inflationary universe scenario is suppressed by an infinitesimally small factor $\exp\Big(-\frac{3}{8V(0)}\Big) \sim 10^{-10^{16}}$ [13]. Therefore it is much easier for inflation to begin and continue eternally in the simplest chaotic inflation models where inflation is possible even at $H \sim 1$, $V(\phi) \sim 1$ [32, 10]. Still the problem of initial conditions in the models with the potentials used in the new inflationary scenario does not look that bad if one takes into account that inflation in these models also goes on without end [30, 31], and eventually we become generously rewarded for our initial problems by the infinite growth of the total volume of inflationary domains. Moreover, as it was shown in [32], the problem of initial conditions for the models with the potentials used in the new inflationary scenario can be easily solved by a preceding stage of chaotic inflation. Now we encounter a new amazing twist of this scenario. Eternal inflation begins if initially we have at least one inflating monopole. Such monopoles exponentially expand, create new space suitable for life of our type to appear there, and then these monopoles effectively expel themselves from the space where inflation ended by continuous creation of exponentially expanding space around them. The possibility that monopoles by themselves can solve the monopole problem is so simple that it certainly deserves further investigation.

7 Stationary Universe

Even though eternal inflation due to topological defects looks very interesting, it is much less general than the eternal inflation which occur because of quantum fluctuations in many other theories including the simplest models of chaotic inflation with the potentials $\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2$ or $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ [10]. In fact, the effect of self-reproduction of inflationary universe occurs almost in all versions of in-

flationary cosmology (even though some exceptions are possible [34]). This forces us to reconsider many features of the big bang cosmology.

The first models of inflation were based on the standard assumption of the big bang theory that the Universe was created at a single moment of time in a state with the Planck density, and that it was hot and large (much larger than the Planck scale $M_p^{-1} = 1$) from the very beginning. The success of inflation in solving internal problems of the big bang theory apparently removed the last doubts concerning the big bang cosmology. It remained almost unnoticed that during the last ten years the inflationary theory has broken the umbilical cord connecting it with the old big bang theory, and acquired an independent life of its own. For the practical purposes of description of the observable part of our Universe one may still speak about the big bang. However, if one tries to understand the beginning of the Universe, or its end, or its global structure, then some of the notions of the big bang theory become inadequate.

For example, already in the first version of the chaotic inflation scenario [6] there was no need to assume that the whole Universe appeared from nothing at a single moment of time associated with the big bang, that the Universe was hot from the very beginning and that the inflaton scalar field ϕ which drives inflation originally occupied the minimum of its potential energy. Later it was found that if the Universe contains at least one inflationary domain of a size of horizon ('h-region') with a sufficiently large and homogeneous scalar field ϕ, then this domain will permanently produce new h-regions of a similar type. In other words, instead of a single big bang producing a one-bubble Universe, we are speaking now about inflationary bubbles producing new bubbles, producing new bubbles, *ad infinitum*. In this sense, inflation is not a short intermediate stage of duration $\sim 10^{-35}$ seconds, but a self-regenerating process, which occurs in some parts of the Universe even now, and which will continue without end.

Thus, recent developments of inflationary theory have considerably modified our cosmological paradigm [8]. Now we must learn how to formulate physical questions in the new context. For example, in a homogeneous part of the Universe there is a simple relation between the density of matter and time. However, on a very large scale the Universe becomes extremely inhomogeneous. Its density, at the same 'cosmic time', varies anywhere from zero to the Planck density. Therefore the question about the density of the Universe at the time 10^{10} years may not have any definite answer. Instead of addressing such questions we should study the distribution of probability of finding a part of the Universe with given properties, and find possible correlations between these properties.

It is extremely complicated to describe an inhomogeneous Universe and to find the corresponding probability distribution. Fortunately, there exists a particular kind of stationarity of the process of the Universe self-reproduction which makes things more regular. Due to the no-hair theorem for de Sitter space, the process of production of new inflationary domains occurs independently of any processes outside the horizon. This process depends only on the values of the fields inside each h-region of radius H^{-1}. Each time a new inflationary h-region is created during the Universe expansion, the physical processes inside this region will depend only on the properties of the fields inside it, but not on the 'cosmic time' at which it was created.

In addition to this most profound stationarity, which we will call *local stationarity* there may also exist some simple stationary probability distributions which may allow us to say, for example, what the probability is of finding a given field ϕ at a given point. To examine this possibility one should consider the probability distribution $P_c(\phi, t|\chi)$, which describes the probability of finding the field ϕ at a given point at a time t, under the condition that at the time $t = 0$ the field ϕ at this point was equal to χ. The same function may also describe the probability that the scalar field which at time t was equal to ϕ, at some earlier time $t = 0$ was equal to χ.

The probability distribution P_c has been studied by many authors, see e.g. refs. [10, 55, 56]. Our investigation of this question has shown that in all realistic inflationary models the probability distribution $P_c(\phi, t|\chi)$ is not stationary [10]. The reason is very simple. The probability distribution P_c is in fact the probability distribution per unit volume in *comoving coordinates* (hence the index c in P_c), which do not change during expansion of the Universe. By considering this probability distribution we neglect the main source of the self-reproduction of inflationary domains, which is the exponential growth of their volume. Therefore, in addition to P_c, we introduced the probability distribution $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$, which describes the probability to find a given field configuration in a unit physical volume [10]. In this section we will show that under certain conditions the stationary probability distribution $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$ does exist [32]. In such situations we will speak about *global stationarity*.

First of all we should remember some details of stochastic approach to inflation. Let us consider the simplest model of chaotic inflation based on the theory of a scalar field ϕ minimally coupled to gravity, with the effective potential $V(\phi)$. If the classical field ϕ is sufficiently homogeneous in some domain of the Universe, then its behavior inside this domain is governed by the equation $3H\dot{\phi} = -dV/d\phi$, where $H^2 = \frac{8V(\phi)\pi}{3}$.

Investigation of these equations has shown that in all power-law potentials $V(\phi) \sim \phi^n$ inflation occurs at $\phi > \phi_e \sim n/6$. In the theory with an exponential potential $V(\phi) \sim e^{\alpha\phi}$ inflation ends only if we bend down the potential at some point ϕ_e; for definiteness we will take $\phi_e = 0$ in this theory.

Inflation stretches all initial inhomogeneities. Therefore, if the evolution of the Universe were governed solely by classical equations of motion, we would end up with an extremely smooth Universe with no primordial fluctuations to initiate the growth of galaxies. Fortunately, new density perturbations are generated during inflation due to quantum effects. The wavelengths of all vacuum fluctuations of the scalar field ϕ grow exponentially in the expanding Universe. When the wavelength of any particular fluctuation becomes greater than H^{-1}, this fluctuation stops oscillating, and its amplitude freezes at some nonzero value $\delta\phi(x)$ because of the large friction term $3H\dot{\phi}$ in the equation of motion of the field ϕ. The amplitude of this fluctuation then remains almost unchanged for a very long time, whereas its wavelength grows exponentially. Therefore, the appearance of such a frozen fluctuation is equivalent to the appearance of a classical field $\delta\phi(x)$ that does not vanish after averaging over macroscopic intervals of space and time.

Because the vacuum contains fluctuations of all wavelengths, inflation leads to the creation of more and more perturbations of the classical field with wavelengths greater than H^{-1}. The

average amplitude of such perturbations generated during a time interval H^{-1} (in which the Universe expands by a factor of e) is given by

$$|\delta\phi(x)| \approx \frac{H}{2\pi} \ . \tag{32}$$

The phases of each wave are random. Therefore, the sum of all waves at a given point fluctuates and experiences Brownian jumps in all directions in the space of fields.

The standard way to describe the stochastic behavior of the inflaton field during the slow-rolling stage is to coarse-grain it over h-regions and consider the effective equation of motion of the long-wavelength field [55]:

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = -\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H(\phi)} + \frac{H^{3/2}(\phi)}{2\pi}\,\xi(t) \ . \tag{33}$$

Here $H = \sqrt{8\pi V/3}$, $\xi(t)$ is the effective white noise generated by quantum fluctuations, which leads to the Brownian motion of the classical field ϕ.

This Langevin equation leads to two stochastic equations for the probability distribution $P_c(\phi, t|\chi)$. The first one is called the backward Kolmogorov equation,

$$\frac{\partial P_c(\phi,t|\chi)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{H^{3/2}(\chi)}{2\pi}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}\left(\frac{H^{3/2}(\chi)}{2\pi}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}P_c(\phi,t|\chi)\right) - \frac{V'(\chi)}{3H(\chi)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}P_c(\phi,t|\chi) \ . \tag{34}$$

In this equation one considers the value of the field ϕ at the time t as a constant, and finds the time dependence of the probability that this value was reached during the time t as a result of diffusion of the scalar field from different possible initial values $\chi \equiv \phi(0)$.

The second equation is the adjoint to the first one; it is called the forward Kolmogorov equation, or the Fokker-Planck equation [55],

$$\frac{\partial P_c(\phi,t|\chi)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\frac{H^{3/2}(\phi)}{2\pi}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\Big(\frac{H^{3/2}(\phi)}{2\pi}P_c(\phi,t|\chi)\Big) + \frac{V'(\phi)}{3H(\phi)}\,P_c(\phi,t|\chi)\right) \ . \tag{35}$$

One may try to find a stationary solution of equations (34), (35), assuming that $\frac{\partial P_c(\phi,t|\chi)}{\partial t} = 0$. The simplest stationary solution (subexponential factors being omitted) would be

$$P_c(\phi,t|\chi) \sim \exp\left(\frac{3}{8V(\phi)}\right)\cdot\exp\left(-\frac{3}{8V(\chi)}\right) \ . \tag{36}$$

This function is extremely interesting. Indeed, the first term in (36) is equal to the square of the Hartle-Hawking wave function of the Universe [57], whereas the second one gives the square of the tunneling wave function [13] !

At first glance, this result gives a direct confirmation and a simple physical interpretation of both the Hartle-Hawking wave function of the Universe *and* the tunneling wave function.

However, in all realistic cosmological theories, in which $V(\phi) = 0$ at its minimum, the Hartle-Hawking distribution $\exp\left(\frac{3}{8V(\phi)}\right)$ is not normalizable. The source of this difficulty can be easily understood: any stationary distribution may exist only due to compensation of the classical flow of the field ϕ downwards to the minimum of $V(\phi)$ by the diffusion motion upwards. However, diffusion of the field ϕ discussed above exists only during inflation. Thus, there is no diffusion motion upwards from the region $\phi < \phi_e$. Therefore all solutions of equation (35) with the proper boundary conditions at $\phi = \phi_e$ (i.e. at the end of inflation) are non-stationary (decaying) [10].

The situation with the probability distribution P_p is much more interesting and complicated. As was shown in [10] its behavior depends strongly on initial conditions. If the distribution P_p was initially concentrated at $\phi < \phi^*$, where ϕ^* is some critical value of the field, then it moves towards small ϕ in the same way as P_c, i.e. it cannot become stationary. On the other hand, if the initial value of the field ϕ is larger than ϕ^*, the distribution moves towards larger and larger values of the field ϕ^*, until it reaches the field ϕ_p, at which the effective potential of the field becomes of the order of Planck density $M_p^4 = 1$, where the standard methods of quantum field theory in a curved classical space are no longer valid.

Some further steps towards the solution of this problem were made by Nambu and Sasaki [58] and Mijić [59]. Their papers contain many important results and insights. However, Mijić [59] did not have a purpose to obtain a complete expression for the stationary distribution $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$. The corresponding expressions were obtained for various types of potentials $V(\phi)$ in [58]. Unfortunately, according to [58], the stationary distribution $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$ is almost entirely concentrated at $\phi \gg \phi_p$, i.e. at $V(\phi) \gg 1$, where the methods used in [58] are inapplicable.

We will continue this investigation by writing the system of stochastic equations for P_p. These equations can be obtained from eqs. (34), (35) by adding the term $3HP_p$, which appears due to the growth of physical volume of the Universe by the factor $1 + 3H(\phi)\,dt$ during each time interval dt [58, 61, 32]:

$$\frac{\partial P_p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{H^{3/2}(\chi)}{2\pi}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}\left(\frac{H^{3/2}(\chi)}{2\pi}\,\frac{\partial P_p}{\partial\chi}\right) - \frac{V'(\chi)}{3H(\chi)}\frac{\partial P_p}{\partial\chi} + 3H(\chi)P_p\ , \tag{37}$$

$$\frac{\partial P_p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\frac{H^{3/2}(\phi)}{2\pi}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\Big(\frac{H^{3/2}(\phi)}{2\pi}P_p\Big) + \frac{V'(\phi)}{3H(\phi)}\,P_p\right) + 3H(\phi)P_p\ . \tag{38}$$

To find solutions of these equations one must specify boundary conditions. Behavior of solutions typically is not very sensitive to the boundary conditions at ϕ_e; it is sufficient to assume that the diffusion coefficient (and, correspondingly, the first terms in the r.h.s. of equations (37), (38)) vanish for $\phi < \phi_e$.

The conditions at the Planck boundary $\phi = \phi_p$ play a more important role. Investigation of these conditions poses many difficult problems. First of all, our diffusion equations are based on the semiclassical approach to quantum gravity, which breaks down at super-Planckian densities. Secondly, the shape of the effective potential may be strongly modified by quantum effects at $\phi \sim \phi_p$. Finally, our standard interpretation of the probability distribution P_c and P_p breaks

down at $V(\phi) > 1$, since at super-Planckian densities the notion of a classical scalar field in classical space-time does not make much sense.

However, these problems by themselves suggest a possible answer. Inflation happens only in theories with very flat effective potentials. At the Planck density nothing can protect the effective potential from becoming steep. Hence, one may expect that inflation ceases to exist at $\phi > \phi_p$, which leads to the boundary condition

$$P_p(\phi_p, t|\chi) = P_p(\phi, t|\chi_p) = 0 \ , \tag{39}$$

where $V(\phi_p) \equiv V(\chi_p) = O(1)$.

There exist some other reasons discussed in [32, 63, 34], why inflation and/or self-reproduction of inflationary domains may cease to exist at super-Planckian densities. We will just assume that this is the case and impose the boundary conditions (39) on P_p.

One may try to obtain solutions of equations (37), (38) in the form of the following series of biorthonormal system of eigenfunctions of the pair of adjoint linear operators (defined by the left hand sides of the equations below):

$$P_p(\phi, t|\chi) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_s t}\, \psi_s(\chi)\, \pi_s(\phi) \ . \tag{40}$$

Indeed, this gives us a solution of eq. (38) if

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{H^{3/2}(\chi)}{2\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}\left(\frac{H^{3/2}(\chi)}{2\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}\psi_s(\chi)\right) - \frac{V'(\chi)}{3H(\chi)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}\psi_s(\chi) + 3H(\chi)\cdot\psi_s(\chi) = \lambda_s\,\psi_s(\chi) \ . \tag{41}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\frac{H^{3/2}(\phi)}{2\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\frac{H^{3/2}(\phi)}{2\pi}\pi_j(\phi)\right)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H(\phi)}\,\pi_j(\phi)\right) + 3H(\phi)\cdot\pi_j(\phi) = \lambda_j\,\pi_j(\phi) \ . \tag{42}$$

The orthonormality condition reads

$$\int_{\phi_e}^{\phi_p} \psi_s(\chi)\,\pi_j(\chi)\,d\chi = \delta_{sj} \ . \tag{43}$$

In our case (with regular boundary conditions) one can easily show that the spectrum of λ_j is discrete and bounded from above. Therefore the asymptotic solution for $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$ (in the limit $t \to \infty$) is given by

$$P_p(\phi, t|\chi) = e^{\lambda_1 t}\,\psi_1(\chi)\,\pi_1(\phi)\cdot\left(1 + O\left(e^{-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)t}\right)\right) \ . \tag{44}$$

Here $\psi_1(\chi)$ is the only positive eigenfunction of eq. (41), λ_1 is the corresponding (real) eigenvalue, and $\pi_1(\phi)$ is the eigenfunction of the conjugate operator (42) with the same eigenvalue λ_1. Note, that λ_1 is the highest eigenvalue, $\mathrm{Re}\,(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) > 0$. This is the reason why the asymptotic

equation (44) is valid at large t. We have found [32] that in realistic theories of inflation a typical time of relaxing to the asymptotic regime, $\Delta t \sim (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1}$, is extremely small. Typically it is only about a few thousands Planck times, i.e. about 10^{-40} sec. This means that the normalized distribution

$$\tilde{P}_p(\phi, t|\chi) = e^{-\lambda_1 t}\, P_p(\phi, t|\chi) \tag{45}$$

rapidly converges to the time-independent normalized distribution

$$\tilde{P}_p(\phi|\chi) \equiv \tilde{P}_p(\phi, t \to \infty|\chi) = \psi_1(\chi)\, \pi_1(\phi)\ . \tag{46}$$

It is this stationary distribution that we were looking for. Because the growing factor $e^{-\lambda_1 t}$ is the same for all ϕ (and χ), one can use $\tilde{P}_p$ instead of P_p for calculation of all *relative* probabilities. In particular, $\tilde{P}_p(\phi|\chi)$ gives us the fraction of the volume of the Universe occupied by the field ϕ, under the condition that the corresponding part of the Universe at some time in the past contained the field χ. The remaining problem is to find the functions $\psi_1(\chi)$ and $\pi_1(\phi)$, and to check that all assumptions about the boundary conditions which we made on the way to eq. (44) are actually satisfied.

We have solved this problem for chaotic inflation in a wide class of theories including the theories with polynomial and exponential effective potentials $V(\phi)$ and found the corresponding stationary distributions [32]. Here we will present some of our results for the theories $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ and $V_o\, e^{\alpha\phi}$.

Solution of equations (41) and (42) for $\psi_1(\chi)$ and $\pi_1(\phi)$ in the theory $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ shows that these functions are extremely small at $\phi \sim \phi_e$ and $\chi \sim \chi_e$. They grow at large ϕ and χ, then rapidly decrease, and vanish at $\phi = \chi = \phi_p$. With a decrease of λ the solutions become more and more sharply peaked near the Planck boundary. (The functions ψ_1 and π_1 for the exponential potential have a similar behavior, but they are less sharply peaked near ϕ_p.) A detailed discussion of these solutions will be contained in [32]. The eigenvalues λ_1 corresponding to different coupling constants λ are given by the following table:

λ	1	10^{-1}	10^{-2}	10^{-3}	10^{-4}	10^{-5}	10^{-6}
λ_1	2.813	4.418	5.543	6.405	7.057	7.538	7.885

One can find also the second eigenvalue λ_2. For example, for $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ one gets $\lambda_2 = 6.789$. This means that for $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ the time of relaxation to the stationary distribution is $\Delta t \sim (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1} \sim 4M_p^{-1} \sim 10^{-42}$ seconds — a very short time indeed.

Note, that the distribution $\tilde{P}_p(\phi, t|\chi)$ depends on ϕ and χ very sharply. For example, one can show that in the theory $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$

$$\tilde{P}_p(\phi, t|\chi) \sim \psi_1(\chi)\, \phi^{\sqrt{\frac{6\pi}{\lambda}}\lambda_1}\ . \tag{47}$$

for a sufficiently small ϕ (for $\phi < \lambda^{-1/8}$) This is an extremely sharp dependence indeed. For example, for the realistic value $\lambda \sim 10^{-13}$ one obtains $\tilde{P}_p(\phi, \tau|\chi) \sim \psi_1(\chi)\, \phi^{10^8}$! This result will

be important for us later, when we will be discussing predictions of quantum cosmology for the value of the cosmological parameter Ω [35].

Note that the parameter λ_1 shows the speed of exponential expansion of the volume filled by a given field ϕ. *This speed does not depend on the field* ϕ, and has the same order of magnitude as the speed of expansion at the Planck density. Indeed, λ_1 should be compared to $3H(\phi) = 2\sqrt{6\pi V(\phi)}$, which is equal to $2\sqrt{6\pi} \approx 8.68$ at the Planck density. It can be shown[32] that in the limit $\lambda \to 0$ the eigenvalue λ_1 also becomes equal to $2\sqrt{6\pi} \approx 8.681$. The meaning of this result is very simple: in the limit $\lambda \to 0$ our solution becomes completely concentrated near the Planck boundary, and λ_1 becomes equal to $3H(\phi_p)$.

One should emphasize that the factor $e^{\lambda_1 t}$ gives the rate of growth of the combined volume of all domains with a given field ϕ (or of all domains containing matter with a given density) *not only at very large* ϕ*, where quantum fluctuations are large, but at small* ϕ *as well, and even after inflation* [32]. This result may seem absolutely unexpected, since the volume of each particular inflationary domain grows like $e^{3H(\phi)t}$, and after inflation the law of expansion becomes completely different. One should distinguish, however, between the growth of each particular domain, accompanied by a decrease of density inside it, and the growth of the total volume of all domains containing matter with a given (constant) density. In the standard big bang theory the second possibility did not exist, since the energy density was assumed to be the same in all parts of the Universe ("cosmological principle"), and it was not constant in time.

The reason why there is a universal expansion rate $e^{\lambda_1 t}$ can be understood as follows. Because of the self-reproduction of the Universe there always exist many domains with $\phi \sim \phi_p$, and their combined volume grows almost as fast as $e^{3H(\phi_p)t}$. Then the field ϕ inside some of these domains decreases. The total volume of domains containing some small field ϕ grows not only due to expansion $\sim e^{3H(\phi_p)t}$, but mainly due to the unceasing process of expansion of domains with large ϕ and their subsequent rolling (or diffusion) towards small ϕ.

But what about the field ϕ which is already at the Planck boundary? Why do the corresponding domains not grow exactly with the Planckian Hubble constant $H(\phi_p) = 2\sqrt{6\pi}/3$? It happens partially due to diffusion and slow rolling of the field towards smaller ϕ. However, the leading effect is the destructive diffusion towards the space-time foam with $\phi > \phi_p$. One may visualize this process by painting white all domains with $V(\phi) < 1$, and by painting black domains filled by space-time foam with $V(\phi) > 1$. Then each time $H^{-1}(\phi_p)$ the volume of white domains with $\phi \sim \phi_p$ grows approximately e^3 times, but some 'black holes' appear in these domains, and, as a result, the total volume of white domains increases only $e^{3\lambda_1/2\sqrt{6\pi}}$ times. This suggests (by analogy with [62]) calling the factor $d_f = 3\lambda_1/2\sqrt{6\pi}$ 'the fractal dimension of classical space-time', or 'the fractal dimension of the inflationary Universe'. However, one should keep in mind that the fractal structure of the inflationary Universe in the chaotic inflation scenario in general is more complicated than in the new or old inflation and cannot be completely specified just by one fractal dimension [32].

The distribution $\tilde{P}_p(\phi|\chi) = \psi_1(\chi)\,\pi_1(\phi)$ which we have obtained does not depend on time t.

However, in general relativity one may use many different time parametrizations, and the same physics can be described differently in different 'times'. One of the most natural choices of time in the context of stochastic approach to inflation is the time $\tau = \ln \frac{a(x,t)}{a(x,0)} = \int H(\phi(x,t),t)\, dt$ [55, 56]. Here $a\,(x,t)$ is a local value of the scale factor in the inflationary Universe. By using this time variable, we were able to obtain not only numerical solutions to the stochastic equations, but also simple asymptotic expressions describing these solutions. For example, for the theory $\frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ both the eigenvalue λ_1 and the 'fractal dimension' d_f (which in this case refers both to the Planck boundary at ϕ_p and to the end of inflation at ϕ_e) are given by $d_f = \lambda_1 \sim 3 - 1.1\sqrt{\lambda}$, and the stationary distribution is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_p(\phi,\tau|\chi) &\sim \exp\Big(-\frac{3}{8V(\chi)}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{V(\chi)+0.4}-\frac{1}{1.4}\Big)\cdot\phi\,\exp\Big(-\pi\,(3-\lambda_1)\phi^2\Big)\\ &\sim \exp\Big(-\frac{3}{2\lambda\,\chi^4}\Big)\Big(\frac{4}{\lambda\chi^4+1.6}-\frac{1}{1.4}\Big)\cdot\phi\,\exp\Big(-3.5\sqrt{\lambda}\phi^2\Big)\,. \qquad (48)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first factor coincides with the square of the tunneling wave function [13]! This expression is valid in the whole interval from ϕ_e to ϕ_p and it correctly describes asymptotic behavior of $\tilde{P}_p(\phi,\tau|\chi)$ both at $\chi \sim \chi_e$ and at $\chi \sim \chi_p$.

A similar investigation can be carried out for the theory $V(\phi) = V_o\, e^{\alpha\phi}$. The corresponding solution is

$$\tilde{P}_p(\phi,\tau|\chi) \sim \exp\Big(-\frac{3}{8V(\chi)}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{V(\chi)}-1\Big)\cdot\Big(\frac{1}{V(\phi)}-1\Big)V^{-1/2}(\phi)\,. \qquad (49)$$

This expression gives a rather good approximation for $\tilde{P}_p(\phi,\tau|\chi)$ for all ϕ and χ.

The main result of our work is that under certain conditions the properties of our Universe can be described by a time-independent probability distribution, which we have found for theories with polynomial and exponential effective potentials. A lot of work still has to be done to verify this conclusion, see [32]. However, once this result is taken seriously, one should consider its interpretation and rather unusual implications.

When making cosmological observations, we study our part of the Universe and find that in this part inflation ended about $t_e \sim 10^{10}$ years ago. The standard assumption of the first models of inflation was that the total duration of the inflationary stage was $\Delta t \sim 10^{-35}$ seconds. Thus one could come to an obvious conclusion that our part of the Universe was created in the big bang, at the time $t_e + \Delta t \sim 10^{10}$ years ago. However, in our scenario the answer is quite different.

Let us consider an inflationary domain which gave rise to the process of self-reproduction of new inflationary domains. For illustrative purposes, one can visualize self-reproduction of inflationary domains as a branching process, which gives a qualitatively correct description of the actual physical process we consider. During this process, the first inflationary domain of initial radius $\sim H^{-1}(\phi)$ within the time $H^{-1}(\phi)$ splits into $e^3 \sim 20$ independent inflationary domains of similar size. Each of them contains a slightly different field ϕ, modified both by classical motion down to the minimum of $V(\phi)$ and by long-wavelength quantum fluctuations of

amplitude $\sim H/2\pi$. After the next time step $H^{-1}(\phi)$, which will be slightly different for each of these domains, they split again, etc. The whole process now looks like a branching tree growing from the first (root) domain. The radius of each branch is given by H^{-1}; the total volume of all domains at any given time t corresponds to the 'cross-section' of all branches of the tree at that time, and is proportional to the number of branches. This volume rapidly grows, but when calculating it, one should take into account that those branches, in which the field becomes larger than ϕ_p, die and fall down from the tree, and each branch in which the field becomes smaller than ϕ_e, ends on an apple (a part of the Universe where inflation ended and life became possible).

One of our results is that even after we discard at each given moment the dead branches and the branches ended with apples, the total volume of live (inflationary) domains will continue growing exponentially, as $e^{\lambda_1 t}$. What is even more interesting, we have found that very soon the portion of branches with given properties (with given values of scalar fields, etc.) becomes time-independent. Thus, by observing any finite part of a tree at any given time t one cannot tell how old the tree is.

To give a most dramatic representation of our conclusions, let us see where most of the apples grow. This can be done simply by integrating $e^{\lambda_1 t}$ from $t = 0$ to $t = T$ and taking the limit as $T \to \infty$. The result obviously diverges at large T as $\lambda_1^{-1} e^{\lambda_1 T}$, which means that most apples grow at an indefinitely large distance from the root. In other words, if we ask what is the total duration of inflation which produced a typical apple, the answer is that it is indefinitely large.

This conclusion may seem very strange. Indeed, if one takes a typical point in the root domain, one can show that inflation at this point ends within a finite time $\Delta t \sim 10^{-35}$ seconds. This is a correct (though model-dependent) result which can be confirmed by stochastic methods, using the distribution $P_c(\phi, \Delta t|\chi)$ [10]. How could it happen that the duration of inflation was any longer than 10^{-35} seconds?

The answer is related to the choice between P_c and P_p, or between roots and fruits. Typical points in the root domain drop out from the process of inflation within 10^{-35} seconds. The number of those points which drop out from inflation at a much later stage is exponentially suppressed, but they produce the main part of the total volume of the Universe. Note that the length of each particular branch continued back in time may well be finite [63]. However, there is no upper limit to the length of each branch, and, as we have seen, the longest branches produce almost all parts of the Universe with properties similar to the properties of the part where we live now. Since by local observations we can tell nothing about our distance in time from the root domain, our probabilistic arguments suggest that the root domain is, perhaps, indefinitely far away from us. Moreover, nothing in our part of the Universe depends on the distance from the root domain, and, consequently, on the distance from the big bang.

Thus, inflation solves many problems of the big bang theory and ensures that this theory provides an excellent description of the local structure of the Universe. However, after making all kinds of improvements of this theory, we are now winding up with a model of a stationary Universe, in which the notion of the big bang loses its dominant position, being removed to the indefinite past.

8 Cosmological constant, $\Omega < 1$, and all that

When inflationary theory was first formulated, we did not know how much it was going to influence our understanding of the structure of the Universe. We were happy that inflation provided an easy explanation of the homogeneity of the Universe. However, we did not know that the same theory simultaneously predicts that on the extremely large scale the Universe becomes entirely inhomogeneous, and that this inhomogeneity is good, since it is one of the manifestations of the process of self-reproduction of inflationary universe.

The new picture of the Universe which emerges now is very unusual, and we are still in the process of learning how to ask proper questions in the context of the new cosmological paradigm. Previously we assumed that we live in a Universe which has the same properties everywhere ("cosmological principle"). Then one could make a guess about the most natural initial conditions in the Universe, and all the rest followed almost automatically. Now we learned that even if one begins with a non-uniform Universe, later it becomes extremely homogeneous on a very large scale. However, simultaneously it becomes absolutely non-uniform on a much greater scale. The Universe becomes divided into different exponentially large regions where the laws of low-energy physics become different. In certain cases the relative fraction of volume of the Universe in a state with given fields or with a given density does not depend on time, whereas the total volume of all parts of the Universe continues growing exponentially.

In this situation the concept of naturalness becomes much more ambiguous than before, the problem of introduction of a proper measure of probability becomes most important. One of the most natural choices of such measure is given by the probability distribution $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$. The hypothesis behind this proposal is that we are typical, and therefore we live in those parts of the Universe where most other people do. The total number of people which can live in domains with given properties should be proportional to the total volume of these domains. If $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$ is stationary, then it seems reasonable to use it as a measure of the total volume of these domains at any given moment of time t. A detailed discussion of this distribution and all possible problems involved is contained in [32]. Some possible applications of this probability distribution for finding the most probable values of the effective gravitational constant in the Brans-Dicke inflationary cosmology can be found in [33]. We have found there that inflation in the Brans-Dicke theory leads to division of the Universe into different exponentially large domains with different values of the gravitational constant, and, correspondingly, with different values of density perturbations. We have shown also that the probability distribution $P_p(\phi, t|\chi)$ in certain versions of this theory can be non-stationary, running away towards infinitely large ϕ, corresponding to infinitely large values of the effective Planck mass. To obtain a correct interpretation of these results one should develop some combination of stochastic approach to inflation and anthropic principle.

A step in this direction was made in our recent paper with Juan García–Bellido [34], where

we investigated possible consequences of stochastic effects during inflation in application to the cosmological constant problem. We have shown, in particular, that by combining the baby universe theory with the Starobinsky model one can solve the problem of cosmological constant. The idea is that the overall growth of the total volume of all domains of the Universe containing matter with the density $\rho \sim 10^{-29}$ g·cm^{-3} is proportional to $e^{\lambda_1 t}$, where λ_1 is a parameter which depends on other constants of the theory, including the cosmological constant. As we have shown in [34], in the context of the Starobinsky model this rate increases as the cosmological constant becomes smaller. However, this solution works only if one believes into the baby universe theory (which allows one to compare universes with different values of the cosmological constant), and only if the cosmological constant cannot become negative. Thus, it cannot be considered as a final solution of the cosmological constant problem, but at least we have a completely new way to address this issue.

In what follows I will briefly describe some nonperturbative effects which may lead to a considerable local deviation of density from the critical density of a flat universe. Results which I am going to discuss are very recent; they have been obtained in a collaboration with Dmitri Linde and Arthur Mezhlumian [35].

Let us consider all parts of inflationary universe which contain a given field ϕ at a given moment of time t. One may wonder, what was the value of this field in those domains at the moment $t - H^{-1}$? The answer is simple: One should add to ϕ the value of its classical drift $\Delta\phi$ during the time H^{-1}, $\Delta\phi = \dot\phi H^{-1}$. One should also add the amplitude of a quantum jump $\delta\phi$. The typical jump is given by $\delta\phi = \pm\frac{H}{2\pi}$. At the last stages of inflation this quantity is by many orders of magnitude smaller than $\Delta\phi$. However, in which sense jumps $\pm\frac{H}{2\pi}$ are typical? If we consider any particular initial value of the field ϕ, then the typical jump from this point is indeed given by $\pm\frac{H}{2\pi}$. However, if we are considering all domains with a given ϕ and trying to find all those domains from which the field ϕ could originate back in time, the answer may be quite different. Indeed, the total volume of all domains with a given field ϕ at any moment of time t strongly depends on ϕ: $\tilde P_p(\phi) \sim \phi^{\sqrt{\frac{6\pi}{\lambda}}\lambda_1} \sim \phi^{10^8}$, see eq. (47). This means that the total volume of all domains which could jump towards the given field ϕ from the value $\phi + \delta\phi$ will be enhanced by a large additional factor $\frac{\tilde P_p(\phi+\delta\phi)}{\tilde P_p(\phi)} \sim \Big(1 + \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi}\Big)^{\sqrt{\frac{6\pi}{\lambda}}\lambda_1}$. On the other hand, the probability of large jumps $\delta\phi$ is suppressed by the Gaussian factor $\exp\Big(-\frac{2\pi^2\delta\phi^2}{H^2}\Big)$. One can easily verify that the product of these two factors has a sharp maximum at $\delta\phi = \lambda_1\phi\cdot\frac{H}{2\pi}$, and the width of this maximum is of the order $\frac{H}{2\pi}$. In other words, most of the domains of a given field ϕ are formed due to jumps which are greater than the "typical" ones by a factor $\lambda_1\phi \pm O(1)$.

Our part of the Universe in the inflationary scenario with $V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$ is formed at $\phi \sim 5$ (in the units $M_p = 1$), and the constant $\lambda_1 \approx 2\sqrt{6\pi} \sim 8.68$ for our choice of boundary conditions. This means that our part of the Universe should be created as a result of a jump down which is about $\lambda_1\phi \sim 40$ times greater than the standard jump. The standard jumps lead to density perturbations of the amplitude $\delta\rho \sim 5\cdot 10^{-5}\rho_c$ (in the normalization of [8]). Thus, according to our nonperturbative analysis, we should live inside a region where density is smaller than the critical density by about $\delta\rho \sim 2\cdot 10^{-3}\rho_c$. As we already mentioned, the probability of such

fluctuations should be suppressed by $\exp\Big(-\frac{2\pi^2\delta\phi^2}{H^2}\Big)$, which in our case gives the suppression factor $\sim \exp(-10^3)$. It is well known that exponentially suppressed perturbations typically give rise to spherically symmetric bubbles. Note also, that the Gaussian distribution suppressing the amplitude of the perturbations refers to the amplitude of a perturbation in its maximum. It is possible that we live not in the place corresponding to the maximum of the fluctuation. However, this could only happen if the nonperturbative jump down was even greater in the amplitude that we expected. Meanwhile, as we already mentioned, the distribution of the amplitudes of such jumps has width of only about $\frac{H}{2\pi}$. This means that we should live very close to the center of the giant fluctuation, and the difference of energy densities between the place where we live and the center of the "bubble" should be only about the same amplitude as the typical perturbative fluctuation $\delta\rho \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-5}\rho_c$. In other words, we should live very close to the center of the nearly perfect spherically symmetric bubble, which contains matter with a smaller energy density than the matter outside it.

It is very tempting to interpret this effect in such a way that the Universe around us becomes locally open, with $1 - \Omega \sim 10^{-3}$. The true description of this effect is, of course, much more complicated, perhaps we should see the Hubble constant slightly growing at large distances. Note that in the simplest theory which we considered the magnitude of this effect is rather small. However, this smallness is proportional to the coefficient λ_1, which is related to the maximal value of the Hubble constant during inflation. In some inflationary models based on the Brans-Dicke theories the effective value of λ_1 may be extremely large or even infinite [33, 34]. Thus, the typical deviation of density of the Universe from the average value in certain models can be very significant. If this is correct, then the degree of the local decrease of density can be used to determine the value of the parameter λ_1. This means that by studying the large-scale structure of the Universe one can get information about the properties of space-time at Planckian densities!

This effect is extremely unusual. We became partially satisfied by our understanding of this effect only after we confirmed its existence by four different methods, including computer simulations [35]. However, there are still many problems associated with it. We are still trying to verify the existence of this effect and to find an adequate interpretation of our results. The most important problem is related to the choice of measure in inflationary cosmology. If we repeat our investigation using instead of $P_p(\phi, t)$ the distribution $P_p(\phi, \tau)$, where $\tau \sim \log a$, we do not get any nonperturbative effects of that kind. The source of this ambiguity can be easily understood. The total volume of all parts of an eternally inflating universe is infinite in the limit $t \to \infty$ (or $\tau \to \infty$). Therefore when we are trying to compare volumes of domains with different properties, we are comparing infinities. This leads to answers depending on the way we are making this comparison, see the discussion of this issue in [32]–[34].

Until we resolve the problem of measure in quantum cosmology, it will be hard to prove that the effect discussed above should actually take place. On the other hand, it is fair to say that now we cannot exclude the possibility of such an effect, and this by itself is a very unexpected conclusion. Few years ago we would say that such effects definitely contradict inflationary cosmology. Now we can only say that this is an open question to be studied experimentally, and that maybe cosmological observations can tell us something new about the nature of quantum gravity.

9 Conclusions

The first realistic versions of inflationary cosmology have been proposed more than ten years ago. Since that time inflationary theory gradually became a very popular tool for investigation of the physical processes in the early universe. It also provides us with a good framework to study the large-scale structure of the Universe. However, inflationary theory is still at the stage of its active development. Within the last one or two years we have realized that inflation may end not only by slow rolling or by bubble formation, but in a kind of waterfall regime, associated with hybrid inflation. We have learned that reheating after inflation occurs in a much more complicated way than we thought before. I expect the theory of reheating to become a subject of intensive study in the near future. We have also learned that our understanding of the way inflation solves the monopole problem was absolutely incomplete, since we neglected the possibility that topological defects can exponentially grow. Finally, it was realized that inflationary theory may give rise to the theory of a stationary universe. This development completely changes our understanding of the structure and the fate of our Universe, and of our own place in the world. It is amazing that after many years of its active development inflationary theory still reveals so many surprising features and provides us with so many challenging problems to be solved!

Acknowledgements

These lectures were based on the recent results which have been obtained in a collaboration with J. García–Bellido, L.A. Kofman, D.A. Linde, A. Mezhlumian and A.A. Starobinsky. I should express my gratitude not only to my co-authors, but also to R. Brandenberger, D. Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega, R. Holman, D.-S. Lee, V. Mukhanov, Y. Shtanov, A. Singh, J. Traschen, and A. Vilenkin for many valuable discussions. I appreciate warm hospitality of the Organizers of the School on Particle Physics and Cosmology at Lake Louise, Canada, of the Marcel Grossmann Conference, Stanford, of the Workshop on Birth of the Universe, Roma, of the Symposium on Elementary Particle Physics, Capri, and of the School of Astrophysics, Erice, Italy. This work was supported in part by NSF grant PHY-8612280.

References

[1] E.B. Gliner, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **22**, 378 (1965); Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR **192**, 771 (1970); E.B. Gliner and I.G. Dymnikova, Pis. Astron. Zh. **1**, 7 (1975); I.E. Gurevich, Astrophys. Space Sci. **38**, 67 (1975).

[2] A.D. Sakharov, *Zh. Exsp. Teor. Fiz.* **49**, 245 (1965).

[3] A.A. Starobinsky, *JETP Lett.* **30**, 682 (1979); *Phys. Lett.* **91B**, 99 (1980).

[4] A.H. Guth, *Phys. Rev.* **D23**, 347 (1981).

[5] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **108B**, 389 (1982); **114B**, 431 (1982); **116B**, 335, 340 (1982); A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1220 (1982).

[6] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **129B**, 177 (1983).

[7] D. La and P.J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 376 (1989); P.J. Steinhardt and F.S. Acetta, *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **64**, 2740 (1990).

[8] A.D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (Harwood, Chur, Switzerland, 1990). A.D. Linde, *Inflation and Quantum Cosmology* (Academic Press, Boston, 1990).

[9] P.J.E. Peebles, *Principles of Physical Cosmology*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993).

[10] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **175B**, 395 (1986); Physica Scripta **T15**, 169 (1987); *Physics Today* **40**, 61 (1987).

[11] A.S. Goncharov and A.D. Linde, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **65**, 635 (1987); A.S. Goncharov, A.D. Linde and V.F. Mukhanov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A2**, 561 (1987).

[12] Ya. B. Zeldovich, *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **7**, 322 (1981); L.P. Grishchuk and Ya. B. Zeldovich, in: *Quantum Structure of Space-Time*, edited by M. Duff and C. J. Isham, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1983), p. 353.

[13] A.D. Linde, *JETP* **60**, 211 (1984); *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **39**, 401 (1984); A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D30**, 549 (1984).

[14] D.A. Kirzhnits and A.D. Linde, *Ann. Phys.* **101** (1976) 195; A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **70B** (1977) 306.

[15] P.J. Steinhardt and M.S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D29**, 2164 (1984).

[16] P. Collins and R. Langbein, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 3429 (1992); *ibid* **D47**, 2302 (1993).

[17] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **132B**, 317 (1983).

[18] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **162B**, 281 (1985).

[19] F. Lucchin and S. Matarrese, *Phys. Rev.* **D32**, 1316 (1985); J. Halliwell, *Phys. Lett.* **B185**, 341 (1987); A.B. Burd and J.D. Barrow, *Nucl. Phys.* **B308**, 929 (1988); A.R. Liddle, Phys. Lett. **B220**, 502 (1989).

[20] J.D. Barrow and A. Ottewill, *J. Phys.* **A16**, 2757 (1983); A.A. Starobinsky, *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **9**, 302 (1983); L.A. Kofman, A.D. Linde, and A.A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Lett.* **157B**, 36 (1985).

[21] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **132B**, 317 (1983); R. Holman, P. Ramond and G.G. Ross, *Phys. Lett.* **B137**, 343 (1984); A.S. Goncharov and A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **139B**, 27 (1984); Class. Quantum Grav. **1**, L75 (1984).

[22] K. Freese, J.A. Frieman and A.V. Olinto *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **65**, 3233 (1990); F.C. Adams, J.R. Bond, K. Freese, J.A. Frieman and A.V. Olinto *Phys. Rev.* **D47**, 426 (1993).

[23] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **B238**, 160 (1990); *ibid* **B249**, 18 (1990).

[24] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.*. **B259**, 38 (1991); A.D. Linde, *Phys. Rev.* D **49**, 748 (1994).

[25] R. Crittenden and P.J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Lett.* **B293**, 32 (1992).

[26] A.D. Dolgov and A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **116B**, 329 (1982); L.F. Abbott, E. Fahri and M. Wise, *Phys. Lett.* **117B**, 29 (1982).

[27] L.A. Kofman, A.D. Linde and A.A. Starobinsky, *Reheating after inflation*, Stanford Univ. preprint SU-ITP-94-13, hep-th/9405187 (1994), submitted to *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

[28] A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **B327**, 208 (1994); A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 3137 (1994); A.D. Linde and D.A. Linde, *Phys.Rev.* D **50**, 2456 (1994).

[29] A.H. Guth, *Phys. Rev.* **D23**, 347 (1981); J.R. Gott, Nature **295**, 304 (1982); K. Sato, H. Kodama, M. Sasaki and K. Maeda, *Phys. Lett.* **B108**, 35 (1982).

[30] P.J. Steinhardt, in: *The Very Early Universe*, G.W. Gibbons, S.W. Hawking, S. Siklos, eds., Cambridge U.P. Cambridge, England (1982), p. 251;
A.D. Linde, *Nonsingular Regenerating Inflationary Universe*, Cambridge University preprint (1982).

[31] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D27**, 2848 (1983).

[32] A.D. Linde and A. Mezhlumian, *Phys. Lett.* B **307**, 25 (1993); A.D. Linde, D.A. Linde and A. Mezhlumian, *Phys. Rev.* D **49**, 1783 (1994).

[33] J. García–Bellido, A.D. Linde and D.A. Linde, *Phys. Rev.* D **50**, 730 (1994).

[34] J. García–Bellido and A.D. Linde, *Stationarity of inflation and predictions of quantum cosmology*, Stanford University preprint SU-ITP-94-24, hep-th/9408023 (1994).

[35] A.D. Linde, D.A. Linde and A. Mezhlumian, in preparation.

[36] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1990).

[37] A.G. Polnarev and M.Yu. Khlopov, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **28**, 213 (1985).

[38] L.A. Kofman and A.D. Linde, *Nucl. Phys.***B282**, 555 (1987).

[39] L.A. Kofman, D.Yu. Pogosyan, *Phys. Lett.* **B214**, 508 (1988); D. S. Salopek, J.R. Bond and J.M. Bardeen, *Phys. Rev.* **D40**, 1753 (1989); L.A. Kofman, *Physica Scripta* **T36**, 108 (1991).

[40] H. Hodges, G. Blumenthal, L. Kofman and J. Primack, *Nucl. Phys.* **B335**, 197 (1990).

[41] A.R. Liddle and D.H. Lyth, *Phys. Rep.* **231**, 1 (1993).

[42] A.A. Starobinsky, in: *Quantum Gravity, Proc. of the Second Seminar "Quantum Theory of Gravity" (Moscow, 13-15 Oct. 1981)*, eds. M.A. Markov and P.C. West (Plenum, New York, 1984), p. 103.

[43] L.A. Kofman, A.D. Linde and A.A. Starobinsky, in preparation.

[44] A.D. Dolgov and D.P. Kirilova, Sov. *Nucl. Phys.* **51**, 273 (1990).

[45] J. Traschen and R. Brandenberger, *Phys. Rev.* D **42**, 2491 (1990).

[46] Y. Shtanov, J. Traschen and R. Brandenberger, *Universe reheating after inflation*, Brown University preprint, BROWN-HET-957, hep-ph/9407247 (1994).

[47] D. Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega, R. Holman, D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, *Dissipation via particle production in scalar field theories*, preprint PITT-94-07, hep-ph/9408214 (1994).

[48] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 2355.

[49] R. Basu, A.H. Guth and A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* D **44** (1991) 340; J. Garriga and A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* D **47** (1993) 3265.

[50] J.A. Frieman and C.T. Hill, SLAC-PUB-4283, 1987 (unpublished); K. Lee, V.P. Nair and E.J. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* D **45** (1992) 2751; P. Breitenlohner, P. Forgács and D. Maison, *Nucl. Phys.*B **383** (1992) 357.

[51] V.A. Berezin, V.A. Kuzmin, I.I. Tkachev *Phys. Lett.* **120 B** (1983) 91; *Phys. Rev.* D **36** (1987) 2919; A. Aurilia, G. Denardo, F. Legovini, and E. Spalucci, *Nucl. Phys.***B252** (1985) 523; P. Laguna-Gastillo, and R. A. Matzner, *Phys. Rev.* D **34** (1986) 2913; S.K. Blau, E.I. Guendelman, and A.H. Guth, *Phys. Rev.* D **35** (1987) 1747.

[52] M.A. Markov and V.P. Frolov, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **3** (1970) 3.

[53] K. Sato, H. Kodama, M. Sasaki and K. Maeda, *Phys. Lett.* **B108** (1982) 35.

[54] V.A. Berezin, V.A. Kuzmin and I.I. Tkachev *JETP Lett.* **41** (1985) 547; *Sov. Phys. JETP* **66** (1987) 654; *Phys. Lett.* **B210** (1988) 64.

[55] A.A. Starobinsky, in: *Fundamental Interactions* (MGPI Press, Moscow, 1984), p. 55; A.S. Goncharov and A.D. Linde, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **17** (1986) 369; A.A. Starobinsky, in: *Current Topics in Field Theory, Quantum Gravity and Strings*, Lecture Notes in Physics, eds. H.J. de Vega and N. Sanchez (Springer, Heidelberg 1986) **206**, p. 107.

[56] D.S. Salopek and J.R. Bond, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 3936; *ibid* **D43** (1991) 1005.

[57] J.B. Hartle and S.W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 2960.

[58] Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, *Phys. Lett.*. **B219** (1989) 240; Y. Nambu, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **81** (1989) 1037.

[59] M. Mijić, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 2469; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991) 2685.

[60] Ya.B. Zeldovich and A.D. Linde, unpublished (1986).

[61] A. Mezhlumian and S.A. Molchanov, *J. Stat. Phys.* **71**, 799 (1993).

[62] M. Aryal and A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Lett.* **B199** (1987) 351.

[63] A. Vilenkin, *Predictions from Quantum Cosmology*, preprint of Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, gr-qc/9406010 (1994).